

SPECIAL REPORT

# **An Analysis of the Allocation of Federal Homeless Funding**

March, 2016

**NHIP**  
**NATIONAL HOMELESS  
INFORMATION PROJECT**

A grassroots collaboration of service providers sharing  
information and knowledge about homeless services

[www.nhipdata.org](http://www.nhipdata.org)

Michael Ullman, Coordinator  
[mhipdata@gmail.com](mailto:mhipdata@gmail.com)

## **Background**

The largest amount of federal money allocated to homeless services is awarded by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) through the annual competition for McKinney-Vento homeless assistance funding. Communities request funds through a Continuum of Care, a planning body comprised of homeless service providers, local government departments, and local advocates or housing officials, that represents a geographically-unique area. Continuums typically encompass a large county or city, multiple, often adjacent small counties, or in the case of sparsely populated areas like Wyoming or Delaware, an entire state. There were more than 400 Continuums of Care identified by HUD eligible to receive a portion of the \$1.9 billion available for CoC homeless assistance funding in FY2015<sup>1</sup>. Applications were submitted in November 2015 with grant awards slated to be announced in late winter/early spring 2016.

The purpose of this analysis is to understand the relationship between homeless prevalence and federal funding, and to identify current patterns that may be hindering or helping communities in their efforts to reduce homelessness. It is acknowledged that all federal funding allocations contain idiosyncrasies due to government bureaucracy, methodological imperfections, and political influence. Furthermore, the pattern of funding itself since the beginning of McKinney Vento in 1987 may be influencing current homeless counts.

The evolution and change in homeless demographics over the past decades since the allocation formula was first implemented and the large reliance on HUD federal homeless dollars for many communities gives reason to review the current allocation on a Continuum basis to identify any large funding inequities. Comparing funding levels with current homeless bed inventories and estimated homeless counts can also be instructive to understand how the Continuum of Care's strategies implemented over the past two decades of McKinney Vento funding may have impacted success in reducing homelessness.

## **Data**

This report uses several sources of data: 1) Data on the HUD Annual Renewal Demand (ARD) and Planning funds allocated for availability to each of the 400 Continuums of Care during 2015<sup>2</sup>, 2) Homeless Point-in-Time Count information culled from the Consolidated Application for the 2014 HUD Homeless Assistance application from each of the Continuum of Care<sup>3</sup>, and 3) Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data submitted with the 2014 application, which reports the number of beds/units of each type of housing for homeless or formerly homeless persons in each Continuum<sup>4</sup>.

Actual funding amounts use the 85% threshold of ARD funds since HUD only guarantees the availability of these funds to each Continuum. Any additional funding must be won due to superior application and is not guaranteed. In addition, the "bonus funds" represent 15% of the ARD amount so inclusion would not change the basic relationship found between funding and homeless counts. A total of \$1,617,390,013 is allocated for funding and planning under the 2015 homeless assistance funding application. Allocations per Continuum ranged from as high as \$98 million for the New York City Continuum that reports total one-day homelessness exceeding 67,000 to many smaller, mostly rural areas receiving less than \$250,000 in federal homeless assistance whose homeless one-day counts are often less than 200 persons.

The PIT homeless counts are divided into three types: 1) Emergency shelter counts, 2) Transitional housing counts, and 3) Unsheltered (street) counts. The total count reported from all Continuums during 2014 was 573,133 persons. While accuracy of the emergency and transitional facility counts are considered quite high since enumeration need only a physical count of persons staying at each homeless facility, unsheltered counts continue to vary in quality across communities.

A general consensus about the unsheltered counts informs that: 1) They are the only counts or homeless estimates available, and 2) Unsheltered counts have improved over the past decade. Since this report's analysis is only looking for large differences in allocation patterns, small or moderate inaccuracies in counts are not very troublesome since they will not alter basic patterns and conclusions.

HIC data for each Continuum provides an inventory of both of emergency and transitional facility beds, in which people who reside in them are still defined as "homeless", and permanent housing beds for formerly homeless persons who are no longer considered to be homeless. HIC data is considered to be highly accurate, though errors or exclusion of homeless housing resources do exist. HIC counts of Permanent Housing may suffer from larger unsystematic errors due to the often definitional problem of what is considered "homeless" permanent housing. As with the errors inherent in the homeless counts, small differences should not impact general associations found especially with large Continuums where exclusion of small beds would represent only a very small proportion of the true housing inventory.

## Analysis

In order to compare funding levels across Continuums, this report developed three measures of funding allocations:

- 1) Allocations of annual federal funding per homeless person. The computation took the total amount of 85 percent of the ARD plus the allocated planning amount divided by the total homeless count as noted in the 2014 PIT Count (emergency, transitional and unsheltered counts). This "funding per capita" measure ranged as low of \$100 per capita to over \$18,000 per capita. The average funding per capita across the country was found to be \$2,822 (dollars per homeless person).
- 2) Equalized level of funding. This computation took the average allocation of funding per capita from measure #1 and multiplied it by the actual total homeless count from each Continuum. This produced a funding level for each Continuum under an equal allocation scenario.
- 3) Change needed for equal funding. The change in funding represented the amount of funding increase or decrease needed to arrive at equalized funding based on homeless enumeration. Measure #2 is subtracted from the actual allocation to produce measure #3.

In addition, a ratio of Permanent Housing Beds-to-Homeless beds was calculated for each of the Continuums. Bed counts are taken from the 2015 HIC. The ratio is employed as a way of controlling for the extent that HUD's homeless funding supports permanent housing in communities versus beds that are filled by homeless persons. While HUD funding does not support all beds listing on the HIC, and often less than 50 percent of them, a large percentage of the permanent housing inventory is supported with HUD McKinney Vento funding. In addition, since emergency and transitional beds are "homeless beds", inventory distribution will impact homeless counts, all things equal.

**Results**

*High and Low Allocation Communities*

Tables 1a and 1b presents the top ten Continuums that receive the most or least amount of funds per capita counted among medium-size or larger Continuums. The threshold for medium size was arbitrarily set at an HUD homeless assistance funding allocation of \$1 million or more in 2015. Compared to the overall average of \$2,822 per capita across the entire country, a review of the top ten allocations show funding received by some communities exceed 4 to 6 times the average level. The NY-518 Continuum which covers Utica, Rome/Oneida, and Madison counties received an average of \$18,759 per capita. Additional review of the counts for the NY-518 Continuum in prior years also shows similar homeless counts of 200 in 2013 and 176 in 2012 and similar levels of funding<sup>5</sup>.

**Table 1a: Top Ten Highest Allocation per Homeless Census**

CoC Number and Name	Homeless Funding	Total Homeless	Funding Per Homeless
NY-518 - Utica, Rome/Oneida, Madison Counties CoC	\$2,981,170	159	\$18,749
CT-503 - Bridgeport, Stamford, Norwalk/Fairfield County CoC	\$8,024,575	440	\$18,238
PA-503 - Wilkes-Barre, Hazleton, Luzerne County CoC	\$3,377,335	192	\$17,590
PA-603 - Beaver County CoC	\$1,386,720	95	\$14,597
OH-500 - Cincinnati/Hamilton County CoC	\$14,093,437	1,043	\$13,512
NY-512 - Troy/Rensselaer County CoC	\$2,676,929	216	\$12,393
NY-500 - Rochester, Irondequoit, Greece/Monroe County CoC	\$10,263,075	838	\$12,247
NY-507 - Schenectady City & County CoC	\$2,929,024	243	\$12,054
MA-517 - Somerville CoC	\$1,847,719	154	\$11,998
OH-502 - Cleveland/Cuyahoga County CoC	\$22,310,639	2,103	\$10,609

**Table 1b: Top Ten Lowest Allocation per Homeless Census**

CoC Number and Name	Homeless Funding	Total Homeless	Funding Per Homeless
FL-509 - Fort Pierce/St. Lucie, Indian River, Martin Counties CoC	\$1,275,051	2,591	\$492
CA-508 - Watsonville/Santa Cruz City & County CoC	\$1,981,430	3,529	\$561
CA-504 - Santa Rosa, Petaluma/Sonoma County CoC	\$2,584,247	4,266	\$606
CA-506 - Salinas/Monterey, San Benito Counties CoC	\$1,906,658	2,962	\$644
OR-505 - Oregon Balance of State CoC	\$2,750,224	4,122	\$667
CA-603 - Santa Maria/Santa Barbara County CoC	\$1,307,179	1,832	\$714
HI-500 - Hawaii Balance of State CoC	\$1,700,258	2,206	\$771
TX-607 - Texas Balance of State CoC	\$7,479,825	8,903	\$840
MA-504 - Springfield/Hampden County CoC	\$2,277,409	2,690	\$847
KY-502 - Lexington-Fayette County CoC	\$1,340,942	1,544	\$868

The top ten highest allocation includes three (3) other New York Continuums, two (2) Pennsylvania Continuums, one (1) Massachusetts, one (1) Connecticut and two (2) Continuums from Ohio. The top ten areas receiving the most generous allocation per current homeless person are all either northeastern areas or older industrial towns (Cleveland, Cincinnati).

A review of the 10 Continuums with the lowest allocation per homeless person includes primarily west coast or Sunbelt areas with the exception of the Springfield, Massachusetts and the Lexington, Kentucky areas. The Fort Pierce Continuum received only \$492 per capita, close to 40 times less than what Utica received. All of the ten low funded Continuums received more than 10 times less than even the lowest of the high allocation communities, and all received less than one-third the national average of \$2,822. The lowest ten communities featured both rural areas including three Balance of State Continuums in Texas, Oregon, and Hawaii as well as urban areas like Santa Cruz and Springfield.

Appendix 1 contains the allocation for all 400 Continuums.

### Equalization Adjustments

In an effort to understand the extent of differences in allocation among Continuums in real dollar terms, an equalization adjustment was performed that used the average national allocation of \$2,822 per homeless person as the funding formula. Tables 2a and 2b present the same set of communities with adjusted funding levels and modification amounts needed to attain this equalization.

As expected, high allocation communities would have to see very large decreases in funding ranging between \$1.1 million to \$16.4 million to reach an equalized level of funding. In contrast, communities currently receiving low levels of support would need increases ranging from \$3.0 million to \$17.6 million to reach equality. The total change needed (moving funds from high funded Continuums to low funded Continuums) for equalized funding is \$459 million out of the total of \$1.6 billion, or 28 percent of the total allocation. A total of 198 Continuums would need a decrease in funding with 202 receiving an increase.

It must be remembered that funding levels were determined based on formulas heavily influenced by population, poverty and other demographic factors during a time when any local counts of homelessness were unavailable. In addition, HUD has provided many opportunities to increase funding through better performance or strategic selection of projects.

All things equal, the funding of transitional housing services versus additional permanent housing units will lower the homeless funding per capita number – is this a correct statement, may need more explanation as it goes against the HI-500 data. While “over” or “under” funded labels are helpful to understand distribution, the analysis remains much more complex since the Continuum actively determines these outcomes.

**Table 2a: Top Ten Highest Allocation - Equalized Funding Levels**

CoC Number and Name	Homeless Funding	Equalized Funding Level	Change Needed
NY-518 - Utica, Rome/Oneida, Madison Counties CoC	\$2,981,170	\$448,698	(\$2,532,472)
CT-503 - Bridgeport, Stamford, Norwalk/Fairfield County CoC	\$8,024,575	\$1,241,680	(\$6,782,895)
PA-503 - Wilkes-Barre, Hazleton, Luzerne County CoC	\$3,377,335	\$541,824	(\$2,835,511)
PA-603 - Beaver County CoC	\$1,386,720	\$268,090	(\$1,118,630)
OH-500 - Cincinnati/Hamilton County CoC	\$14,093,437	\$2,943,346	(\$11,150,091)
NY-512 - Troy/Rensselaer County CoC	\$2,676,929	\$609,552	(\$2,067,377)
NY-500 - Rochester, Irondequoit, Greece/Monroe County CoC	\$10,263,075	\$2,364,836	(\$7,898,239)
NY-507 - Schenectady City & County CoC	\$2,929,024	\$685,746	(\$2,243,278)
MA-517 - Somerville CoC	\$1,847,719	\$434,588	(\$1,413,131)
OH-502 - Cleveland/Cuyahoga County CoC	\$22,310,639	\$5,934,666	(\$16,375,973)

**Table 2b: Top Ten Lowest Allocation - Equalized Funding Levels**

CoC Number and Name	Homeless Funding	Equalized Funding Level	Change Needed
FL-509 - Fort Pierce/St. Lucie, Indian River, Martin Counties CoC	\$1,275,051	\$7,311,802	\$6,036,751
CA-508 - Watsonville/Santa Cruz City & County CoC	\$1,981,430	\$9,958,838	\$7,977,408
CA-504 - Santa Rosa, Petaluma/Sonoma County CoC	\$2,584,247	\$12,038,652	\$9,454,405
CA-506 - Salinas/Monterey, San Benito Counties CoC	\$1,906,658	\$8,358,764	\$6,452,106
OR-505 - Oregon Balance of State CoC	\$2,750,224	\$11,632,284	\$8,882,060
CA-603 - Santa Maria/Santa Barbara County CoC	\$1,307,179	\$5,169,904	\$3,862,725
HI-500 - Hawaii Balance of State CoC	\$1,700,258	\$6,225,332	\$4,525,074
TX-607 - Texas Balance of State CoC	\$7,479,825	\$25,124,266	\$17,644,441
MA-504 - Springfield/Hampden County CoC	\$2,277,409	\$7,591,180	\$5,313,771
KY-502 - Lexington-Fayette County CoC	\$1,340,942	\$4,357,168	\$3,016,226

Given these interplays, it is best to focus on Continuums where large variation from the average exists – variations that likely cannot be explained by factors controlled by the Continuum’s actions. Reviewing Continuums that receive more than double or less than half of their equalized value is a good start. This threshold would include 130 Continuums for more intensive review, or slightly less than one-quarter of Continuums. Qualitative review at the Continuum level would also be needed to augment homeless estimation and federal funding data, especially given the on-going difficulties of accurate homeless counts and local factors influencing homeless prevalence.

## Comparison of Funding with Permanent Housing Units

One of the limitations of the prior analysis comparing homeless counts and funding is that HUD homeless assistance funds only Transitional and Permanent Housing (Permanent Supportive Housing and Rapid Rehousing), not Emergency Beds<sup>6</sup>. Furthermore, in the past five (5) years, HUD has restricted new project funding to only Permanent Housing projects. Therefore, the correlation of funding and homeless counts needs to also take into account the fact that between one-third to two-thirds of annual Homeless Assistance funding, depending on the Continuum mix, support these permanent units. By definition, all things equal, the greater the number of permanent housing units, the fewer the homeless persons.

Suffice it to say, while correlations between levels of homeless funding and homeless counts should likely exist, the fact that funding supports permanent housing (non-housing) units clouds the previous analysis. The funding and success of permanent housing units, which move people out of homelessness, will actually work to increase the funding per capita since homelessness decreases while funding of permanent housing increases. This is one reason that funding discrepancies should likely focus on Continuums with funding levels per homeless person that are significantly different from average (factor of 2 times or greater).

In order to factor in permanent housing into the funding analysis presented, an additional characteristic for each Continuum was calculated. Using data from each Continuum's HIC, a ratio of Permanent Housing units compared to Homeless (Emergency and Transitional) units was computed. While far from perfect<sup>7</sup>, this ratio can help inform the extent to which homeless dollars are more heavily focused on permanent housing solutions rather than the maintenance of emergency and transitional units. While emergency and transitional units house people, under the current federal definition the persons residing in these units are counted as homeless. In addition, given the various incentives that HUD has given to permanent housing projects over the last decade, additional permanent units would tend to be a sign of communities who effectively "gamed" the HUD funding system to increase funding levels in the long run – would be interesting to point out the change in McKinney PH beds over a five-year span, this would illustrate the skill needed to bring PH units into the CoC. Table 3a and 3b depict the same high and low Continuums with the number of homeless units (emergency and transitional) compared to permanent units and the ratio between them.

Looking again at the communities with the highest funding per capita, eight of the ten communities feature permanent-to-homeless bed ratio of 1.48 or higher. Three Continuums reported ratios in excess of 3.40, effectively meaning 10 permanent housing slots for every 3 homeless bed slots. Only two continuums had ratios under 1.0, both small-to-medium communities, with only Somerville, MA having a ratio slightly under the national average of 0.82, or approximately five homeless beds to every four permanent beds.

Revisiting the lower funded communities shows a dramatic reversal of the ratio trend. Eight of these ten Continuums reported well below the national funding per capita average with only CA-504 and MA-504 above 1.0. The bed inventory in OR-505 Balance of State Continuum contains 4 homeless beds for every 1 permanent bed. Four other Continuums had ratio of 0.53 or below.

A simple correlation between this permanent-to-homeless bed ratio and funding per homeless person yielded a modest, but noteworthy and significant, Pearson R equaled to 0.47 (n=401, p<.01). While the causation between funding and permanent housing contains other factors including non-federal funding

and cost of rental housing, the association between funding and more permanent housing does exist, as it should. The extent to which these additional funds received by high-allocation communities has worked to reduce overall homelessness is a more complicated matter.

#### Permanent to Homeless Beds Ratio in Large Communities

While funding levels and permanent housing ratios show a general association overall, the fact that many communities do not exhibit this relationship can identify communities that may need to realign their funding priorities towards more permanent housing and less emergency and transitional.

Table 4a shows the ten communities that had the highest permanent to homeless bed ratios, while Table 4b shows the ten communities that report the lowest permanent to homeless bed ratios. Communities selected were limited to those with at least 1,000 homeless beds. A total of 92 out of 400 continuums met this criteria. Appendix II contains ratios for all Continuums included in the report.



**Table 3a: Top Ten Highest Allocation with Permanent-to-Homeless Beds Ratio**

CoC Number and Name	Homeless Funding	Total Homeless	Funding Per Homeless	Number of Homeless Beds	Number of Permanent Beds	Ratio of Permanent to Homeless
NY-518 - Utica, Rome/Oneida, Madison Counties CoC	\$2,981,170	159	\$18,749	171	634	3.71
CT-503 - Bridgeport, Stamford, Norwalk/Fairfield County CoC	\$8,024,575	440	\$18,238	401	750	1.87
PA-503 - Wilkes-Barre, Hazleton, Luzerne County CoC	\$3,377,335	192	\$17,590	230	340	1.48
PA-603 - Beaver County CoC	\$1,386,720	95	\$14,597	127	123	0.97
OH-500 - Cincinnati/Hamilton County CoC	\$14,093,437	1,043	\$13,512	951	3,232	3.40
NY-512 - Troy/Rensselaer County CoC	\$2,676,929	216	\$12,393	134	692	5.16
NY-500 - Rochester, Irondequoit, Greece/Monroe County CoC	\$10,263,075	838	\$12,247	759	1,843	2.43
NY-507 - Schenectady City & County CoC	\$2,929,024	243	\$12,054	224	385	1.72
MA-517 - Somerville CoC	\$1,847,719	154	\$11,998	174	130	0.75
OH-502 - Cleveland/Cuyahoga County CoC	\$22,310,639	2,103	\$10,609	1,936	4,119	2.13

**Table 3b: Top Ten Lowest Allocation with Permanent-to-Homeless Beds Ratio**

CoC Number and Name	Homeless Funding	Total Homeless	Funding Per Homeless	Number of Homeless Beds	Number of Permanent Beds	Ratio of Permanent to Homeless
FL-509 - Fort Pierce/St. Lucie, Indian River, Martin Counties CoC	\$1,275,051	2,591	\$492	379	293	0.77
CA-508 - Watsonville/Santa Cruz City & County CoC	\$1,981,430	3,529	\$561	642	406	0.63
CA-504 - Santa Rosa, Petaluma/Sonoma County CoC	\$2,584,247	4,266	\$606	975	1,013	1.04
CA-506 - Salinas/Monterey, San Benito Counties CoC	\$1,906,658	2,962	\$644	872	261	0.30
OR-505 - Oregon Balance of State CoC	\$2,750,224	4,122	\$667	3,034	713	0.24
CA-603 - Santa Maria/Santa Barbara County CoC	\$1,307,179	1,832	\$714	726	569	0.78
HI-500 - Hawaii Balance of State CoC	\$1,700,258	2,206	\$771	823	439	0.53
TX-607 - Texas Balance of State CoC	\$7,479,825	8,903	\$840	5,663	1,617	0.29
MA-504 - Springfield/Hampden County CoC	\$2,277,409	2,690	\$847	1,418	1,857	1.31
KY-502 - Lexington-Fayette County CoC	\$1,340,942	1,544	\$868	1,410	533	0.38

Ratios for the ten large Continuums examined ranged from 3.27 to 1.71, or at least double the national average of 0.82. Only two of the ten communities (CA-500 and MN-500) reported average funding per homeless under the national average of \$2,822. The Continuum with the highest bed ratio reported a funding level approximately 31 percent above average, significant but small considering the large emphasis on permanent housing. The Cleveland Continuum reported the highest funding level, nearly four times the average, with a bed ratio of 2.1 to 1. In comparison to San Francisco, the Cleveland Continuum would appear potentially over-funded since it receives an allocation 3 times San Francisco and has a high, but lower bed ratio, than San Francisco. Among the ten Continuums, San Jose (CA-500) and Minneapolis (MN-500) would appear underfunded. Funding that appears “overgenerous” may also include the communities of Connecticut Balance of State and Sacramento.

**Table 4a: Continuums with Highest Permanent-to-Homeless Bed Ratios**

CoC Number	# of Homeless Beds	# of Permanent Beds	Ratio of Permanent to Homeless Beds	Funding per Homeless
CA-501 San Francisco	2,286	7,469	3.3:1	\$3,696
CA-500 San Jose/Santa Clara	1,744	3,877	2.2:1	\$2,010
LA-503 New Orleans	1,305	2,784	2.1:1	\$7,488
OH-502 Cleveland/Cuyahoga	1,936	4,119	2.1:1	\$10,609
MN-500 Minneapolis/Hennepin	3,214	6,820	2.1:1	\$2,409
OR-501 Portland/Gresham/Multnomah	1,907	3,995	2.1:1	\$3,432
CT-505 Connecticut BOS	1,815	3,488	1.9:1	\$8,422
MA-506 Worcester	1,184	2,105	1.8:1	\$3,141
CA-503 Sacramento	1,557	2,724	1.7:1	\$6,696
MN-501 St. Paul/Ramsey	1,478	2,528	1.7:1	\$3,704

**Table 4b: Continuums with Lowest Permanent-to-Homeless Bed Ratios**

CoC Number	# of Homeless Beds	# of Permanent Beds	Ratio of Permanent to Homeless Beds	Funding per Homeless
TX-603	1,243	78	1:15.9	\$2,184
WI-500	4,037	626	1:6.5	\$1,870
OR-505	3,034	713	1:4.3	\$667
HI-501 Oahu/Honolulu County	3,513	859	1:4.1	\$1,846
IA-501 -	2,495	678	1:3.7	\$2,195
SC-501	1,459	404	1:3.6	\$2,012
TX-607	5,663	1,617	1:3.5	\$840
KS-507	1,705	552	1:3.1	\$1,917
NY-600	66,662	22,177	1:3.0	\$1,361
CO-500	1,929	707	1:2.7	\$1,290

In contrast, low ratio communities varied from 2.7-homeless beds to each permanent housing bed to as high as 15.9 homeless beds to one permanent bed. While all ten of these low ratio communities had funding per homeless levels below the national average, their bed ratios were all at least three times lower than the national average.

Although these communities may appear slighted by the federal funding formula, the overemphasis on emergency and transitional beds rather than permanent housing beds works to create this statistical inequity – I like this statement, very good. If TX-603 moved funding from supporting 500 homeless beds, thereby eliminating or converting current facilities, to create 500 new permanent housing units, the communities would have a bed ratio close to the national average. This strategy would move 500 persons out of homelessness, thereby reducing the most recent point in time count from 1,260 to 760 and increase their funding per capita from \$2,184 to close to \$4,000. It is understood that this is a hypothetical outcome that assumes a simple relationship between the type of beds and the level of homelessness in a community.

### **Conclusion and Recommendation**

The analysis provides planners and advocates substantial information on current federal homeless funding levels awarded to communities and the relationships between funding and estimated levels of homelessness. In addition, an examination of current bed ratios (permanent to homeless) give a further dimension to this complex relationship. This analysis is limited to available empirical data and does take into consideration many local decisions that affect funding, bed inventories and homeless prevalence.

Even to the novice, it is apparent that causation works both ways with more funding working to reduce homelessness, while less funding in a community with a large homeless prevalence may hamper efforts to stem the tide of homelessness, including new persons entering the homeless system. It is also known that factors outside of the funding realm – public and private – are impacting levels of homelessness. Homelessness is as much about household structure as it is about household income. This is an area of homeless research that has not received much, if any, attention.

The results of this report do support the fact that some communities appear to receive more than their fair share of homeless funding, while some communities appear to be shorted. Media reports in 2013 pointed to some regional differences with old northeast cities receiving larger per capita awarded than Pacific Coast and Sunbelt areas<sup>8</sup>. A reallocation or augmentation of funding to achieve better equity based on homeless prevalence is not as radical as it may first appear, movement of 28 percent of funding, approximately \$500 million, would be necessary. Given known and justified reasons for inequities, it is probable that only half of this reallocation would be needed to achieve reasonable equity. Changes in funding at the local levels with additional technical assistance could also work to ameliorate large differences.

While current reallocation is nearly impossible politically, since this entails reducing allocations from some communities, HUD can easily make efforts towards better equity within its annual competition for “bonus funding” which is projected at \$100 to \$150 billion annually. While rewarding high performing Continuums is to be lauded, the government has a responsibility to support communities more challenged. If two-thirds of the future 15 percent “bonus” allocation were directed to underfunded communities for a five year period, significant gains in funding equity could be achieved.

While the direction of homeless services has begun to take a turn toward strategies that can actually reduce homelessness, much work and continued building of knowledge is needed. Addressing funding equities, especially as they relate to changes in homeless bed inventories, can help build this knowledge.

---

<sup>1</sup> Consolidation of small Continuums into Balance of State continuum for some states and the addition of small Continuum into an existing multi-county Continuum has reduced the number of Continuums of Care from 475 in the mid-2000s to 400 listed under the HUD funding assistance grant information for 2015. A total of 414 Continuums reported homeless counts during 2014, although homeless assistance documentation from HUD listed only 400 Continuums eligible.

<sup>2</sup> The Annual Renewal Demand (ARD) represents the total amount of funding for projects currently funded by the Continuum that are in need of renewal funding. This information is annually released from HUD and can be found on the HUD Exchange website.

<sup>3</sup> Continuums are required to include data from their most recent Point in Time count in the annual Continuum of Care Homeless Application for Funding. Continuums report data from emergency shelters, transitional housing, safe haven facilities and an estimated of unsheltered homelessness. Additional data on homeless prevalence of special populations and homelessness among families with children are also reported. These public data can be found on the HUD Exchange website.

<sup>4</sup> An edited version of the Housing Inventory Charts (HIC), redacted for sensitive data, are available through the HUD Exchange website.

<sup>5</sup> NY-518 received \$3,505,546 (85% ARD equivalent of \$2,979,364) in funding in 2013 and \$3,313,194 (85% ARD equivalent of \$2,816,215) in 2012

<sup>6</sup> HUD does fund emergency services through the Emergency Solutions Funding which is allocated to local municipalities through a block grant mechanism with decisions made at the local level. This funding currently represents less than 15 percent of Homeless Assistance funding. While historically this funding has exclusively funded emergency shelter services (previously called Emergency Shelter Grants, or ESG), it has moved towards funding additional Rapid Rehousing Services.

<sup>7</sup> The Housing Inventory Chart typically includes housing not funded by HUD and supported by state, local or public support or operated as a private rental development. Due to funding priorities most Continuum funding is currently funding permanent housing projects. The Homeless to Permanent Housing ratio may still offer much diagnostic value in understand the structures of services and the increase or decrease in homelessness in any given community.

<sup>8</sup> <http://www.voiceofsandiego.org/quest-homelessness/sds-share-of-federal-homelessness-funding-doesnt-add-up>.

**APPENDIX I:  
Ratio of Funding-to-Homeless Census by Continuum**

CoC Number and Name	Homeless Funding	Total Homeless	Funding Per Homeless	Equalized Funding	Change in Funding
FL-512 - St. Johns County CoC	\$139,559	1,401	\$100	\$3,952,221	\$3,812,662
FL-517 - Hendry, Hardee, Highlands Counties CoC	\$188,774	1,346	\$140	\$3,797,066	\$3,608,292
FL-515 - Panama City/Bay, Jackson Counties CoC	\$54,482	268	\$203	\$756,028	\$701,546
FL-519 - Pasco County CoC	\$698,078	3,356	\$208	\$9,467,276	\$8,769,198
FL-518 - Columbia, Hamilton, Lafayette, Suwannee Counties	\$243,980	1,070	\$228	\$3,018,470	\$2,774,490
FL-514 - Ocala/Marion County CoC	\$244,559	918	\$266	\$2,589,678	\$2,345,119
MO-503 - St. Charles City & County, Lincoln, Warren	\$245,306	896	\$274	\$2,527,616	\$2,282,310
NC-516 - Northwest North Carolina CoC	\$244,331	854	\$286	\$2,409,134	\$2,164,803
OK-507 - Southeastern Oklahoma Regional CoC	\$131,741	442	\$298	\$1,246,882	\$1,115,141
VI-500 - Virgin Islands CoC	\$137,453	448	\$307	\$1,263,808	\$1,126,355
FL-505 - Fort Walton Beach/Okaloosa, Walton Counties CoC	\$546,608	1,577	\$347	\$4,448,717	\$3,902,109
FL-602 - Punta Gorda/Charlotte County CoC	\$189,503	511	\$371	\$1,441,531	\$1,252,028
TN-512 - Morristown/Blount, Sevier, Campbell, Cocke	\$355,458	933	\$381	\$2,631,993	\$2,276,535
WY-500 - Wyoming Statewide CoC	\$294,640	757	\$389	\$2,135,497	\$1,840,857
CA-614 - San Luis Obispo County CoC	\$935,196	2,366	\$395	\$6,674,486	\$5,739,290
AR-503 - Arkansas Balance of State CoC	\$429,416	1,028	\$418	\$2,899,988	\$2,470,572
OR-502 - Medford, Ashland/Jackson County CoC	\$282,589	625	\$452	\$1,763,125	\$1,480,536
FL-508 - Gainesville/Alachua, Putnam Counties CoC	\$594,315	1,256	\$473	\$3,543,176	\$2,948,861
OK-506 - Southwest Oklahoma Regional CoC	\$116,191	239	\$486	\$674,219	\$558,028
FL-513 - Palm Bay, Melbourne/Brevard County CoC	\$719,252	1,477	\$487	\$4,166,617	\$3,447,365
FL-509 - Fort Pierce/St. Lucie, Indian River, Martin Counties	\$1,275,051	2,591	\$492	\$7,309,211	\$6,034,160
NJ-502 - Burlington County CoC	\$509,193	1,020	\$499	\$2,877,420	\$2,368,227
FL-500 - Sarasota, Bradenton/Manatee, Sarasota Counties	\$727,209	1,377	\$528	\$3,884,517	\$3,157,308
CA-516 - Redding/Shasta County CoC	\$344,302	630	\$547	\$1,777,230	\$1,432,928
CA-508 - Watsonville/Santa Cruz City & County CoC	\$1,981,430	3,529	\$561	\$9,955,309	\$7,973,879
FL-504 - Daytona Beach, Daytona/Volusia, Flagler Counties	\$924,485	1,633	\$566	\$4,606,693	\$3,682,208
CA-504 - Santa Rosa, Petaluma/Sonoma County CoC	\$2,584,247	4,266	\$606	\$12,034,386	\$9,450,139
CA-613 - Imperial County CoC	\$191,131	298	\$641	\$840,658	\$649,527
CA-506 - Salinas/Monterey, San Benito Counties CoC	\$1,906,658	2,962	\$644	\$8,355,802	\$6,449,144
OR-505 - Oregon Balance of State CoC	\$2,750,224	4,122	\$667	\$11,628,162	\$8,877,938
FL-520 - Citrus, Hernando, Lake, Sumter Counties CoC	\$341,205	511	\$668	\$1,441,531	\$1,100,326
CA-522 - Humboldt County CoC	\$637,295	932	\$684	\$2,629,172	\$1,991,877
FL-604 - Monroe County CoC	\$464,696	678	\$685	\$1,912,638	\$1,447,942
NY-520 - Franklin County CoC	\$54,280	78	\$696	\$220,038	\$165,758
CA-603 - Santa Maria/Santa Barbara County CoC	\$1,307,179	1,832	\$714	\$5,168,072	\$3,860,893
CA-518 - Vallejo/Solano County CoC	\$926,685	1,295	\$716	\$3,653,195	\$2,726,510
MI-511 - Lenawee County CoC	\$98,933	138	\$717	\$389,298	\$290,365
CA-526 - Tuolumne, Amador, Calaveras, Mariposa Counties	\$275,483	382	\$721	\$1,077,622	\$802,139
NJ-510 - Lakewood Township/Ocean County CoC	\$453,957	627	\$724	\$1,768,767	\$1,314,810
CA-519 - Chico, Paradise/Butte County CoC	\$509,195	696	\$732	\$1,963,416	\$1,454,221
AR-501 - Fayetteville/Northwest Arkansas CoC	\$416,865	551	\$757	\$1,554,371	\$1,137,506
CA-520 - Merced City & County CoC	\$582,733	768	\$759	\$2,166,528	\$1,583,795
AL-507 - Alabama Balance of State CoC	\$543,801	716	\$759	\$2,019,836	\$1,476,035
HI-500 - Hawaii Balance of State CoC	\$1,700,258	2,206	\$771	\$6,223,126	\$4,522,868
VA-602 - Loudoun County CoC	\$139,903	177	\$790	\$499,317	\$359,414
MI-516 - Norton Shores, Muskegon City & County CoC	\$463,765	584	\$794	\$1,647,464	\$1,183,699
MI-515 - Monroe City & County CoC	\$147,305	185	\$796	\$521,885	\$374,580
OK-505 - Northeast Oklahoma CoC	\$307,195	383	\$802	\$1,080,443	\$773,248
FL-606 - Naples/Collier County CoC	\$294,794	361	\$817	\$1,018,381	\$723,587
NJ-500 - Atlantic City & County CoC	\$447,107	544	\$822	\$1,534,624	\$1,087,517
TX-607 - Texas Balance of State CoC	\$7,479,825	8,903	\$840	\$25,115,363	\$17,635,538

**APPENDIX I:  
Ratio of Funding-to-Homeless Census by Continuum**

CoC Number and Name	Homeless Funding	Total Homeless	Funding Per Homeless	Equalized Funding	Change in Funding
OR-503 - Central Oregon CoC	\$479,016	568	\$843	\$1,602,328	\$1,123,312
MA-504 - Springfield/Hampden County CoC	\$2,277,409	2,690	\$847	\$7,588,490	\$5,311,081
KY-502 - Lexington-Fayette County CoC	\$1,340,942	1,544	\$868	\$4,355,624	\$3,014,682
GU-500 - Guam CoC	\$1,184,610	1,356	\$874	\$3,825,276	\$2,640,666
TN-507 - Jackson/West Tennessee CoC	\$1,443,317	1,601	\$902	\$4,516,421	\$3,073,104
AL-506 - Tuscaloosa City & County CoC	\$221,868	245	\$906	\$691,145	\$469,277
VA-521 - Virginia Balance of State CoC	\$604,570	662	\$913	\$1,867,502	\$1,262,932
AK-501 - Alaska Balance of State CoC	\$710,223	761	\$933	\$2,146,781	\$1,436,558
FL-511 - Pensacola/Escambia, Santa Rosa Counties CoC	\$835,187	843	\$991	\$2,378,103	\$1,542,916
FL-502 - St. Petersburg, Clearwater, Largo/Pinellas County	\$3,401,859	3,391	\$1,003	\$9,566,011	\$6,164,152
WA-507 - Yakima City & County CoC	\$543,828	533	\$1,020	\$1,503,593	\$959,765
SC-503 - Myrtle Beach, Sumter City & County CoC	\$1,351,636	1,317	\$1,026	\$3,715,257	\$2,363,621
CA-521 - Davis, Woodland/Yolo County CoC	\$462,062	442	\$1,045	\$1,246,882	\$784,820
VA-514 - Fredericksburg/Spotsylvania, Stafford Counties CoC	\$212,214	201	\$1,056	\$567,021	\$354,807
NC-511 - Fayetteville/Cumberland County CoC	\$709,783	653	\$1,087	\$1,842,113	\$1,132,330
WA-501 - Washington Balance of State CoC	\$5,157,553	4,703	\$1,097	\$13,267,163	\$8,109,610
NJ-513 - Somerset County CoC	\$354,338	323	\$1,097	\$911,183	\$556,845
ID-500 - Boise/Ada County CoC	\$838,830	753	\$1,114	\$2,124,213	\$1,285,383
MI-514 - Battle Creek/Calhoun County CoC	\$323,793	284	\$1,140	\$801,164	\$477,371
MA-510 - Gloucester, Haverhill, Salem/Essex County CoC	\$1,698,583	1,487	\$1,142	\$4,194,827	\$2,496,244
NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County CoC	\$10,930,672	9,417	\$1,161	\$26,565,357	\$15,634,685
NY-516 - Clinton County CoC	\$154,982	133	\$1,165	\$375,193	\$220,211
CA-611 - Oxnard, San Buenaventura/Ventura County CoC	\$1,671,270	1,428	\$1,170	\$4,028,388	\$2,357,118
TX-624 - Wichita Falls/Wise, Palo Pinto, Wichita, Archer	\$330,332	281	\$1,176	\$792,701	\$462,369
MD-507 - Cecil County CoC	\$230,129	195	\$1,180	\$550,095	\$319,966
NC-502 - Durham City & County CoC	\$994,203	811	\$1,226	\$2,287,831	\$1,293,628
VA-604 - Prince William County CoC	\$546,481	445	\$1,228	\$1,255,345	\$708,864
MA-508 - Lowell CoC	\$723,214	588	\$1,230	\$1,658,748	\$935,534
SD-500 - South Dakota Statewide CoC	\$1,115,008	885	\$1,260	\$2,496,585	\$1,381,577
GA-500 - Atlanta CoC	\$6,049,951	4,797	\$1,261	\$13,532,337	\$7,482,386
MO-600 - Springfield/Greene, Christian, Webster Counties	\$833,481	656	\$1,271	\$1,850,576	\$1,017,095
CO-500 - Colorado Balance of State CoC	\$2,822,716	2,188	\$1,290	\$6,172,348	\$3,349,632
CA-509 - Mendocino County CoC	\$1,883,828	1,404	\$1,342	\$3,960,684	\$2,076,856
GA-504 - Augusta-Richmond County CoC	\$637,568	472	\$1,351	\$1,331,512	\$693,944
OR-500 - Eugene, Springfield/Lane County CoC	\$2,398,114	1,767	\$1,357	\$4,984,707	\$2,586,593
NY-600 - New York City CoC	\$92,268,218	67,810	\$1,361	\$191,292,010	\$99,023,792
MT-500 - Montana Statewide CoC	\$2,377,526	1,745	\$1,362	\$4,922,645	\$2,545,119
TN-504 - Nashville-Davidson County CoC	\$3,072,001	2,234	\$1,375	\$6,302,114	\$3,230,113
AL-503 - Huntsville/North Alabama CoC	\$742,237	536	\$1,385	\$1,512,056	\$769,819
OK-500 - North Central Oklahoma CoC	\$281,513	201	\$1,401	\$567,021	\$285,508
CA-515 - Roseville, Rocklin/Placer, Nevada Counties CoC	\$1,071,545	762	\$1,406	\$2,149,602	\$1,078,057
TN-502 - Knoxville/Knox County CoC	\$1,214,711	861	\$1,411	\$2,428,881	\$1,214,170
VA-504 - Charlottesville CoC	\$287,855	204	\$1,411	\$575,484	\$287,629
VA-513 - Harrisonburg, Winchester/Western Virginia CoC	\$359,544	249	\$1,444	\$702,429	\$342,885
MI-512 - Grand Traverse, Antrim, Leelanau Counties CoC	\$572,273	396	\$1,445	\$1,117,116	\$544,843
UT-503 - Utah Balance of State CoC	\$1,155,986	782	\$1,478	\$2,206,022	\$1,050,036
MS-503 - Gulf Port/Gulf Coast Regional CoC	\$635,161	426	\$1,491	\$1,201,746	\$566,585
TX-701 - Bryan, College Station/Brazos Valley CoC	\$280,333	188	\$1,491	\$530,348	\$250,015
ND-500 - North Dakota Statewide CoC	\$1,886,673	1,258	\$1,500	\$3,548,818	\$1,662,145
FL-506 - Tallahassee/Leon County CoC	\$1,207,598	805	\$1,500	\$2,270,905	\$1,063,307
MI-518 - Livingston County CoC	\$202,937	135	\$1,503	\$380,835	\$177,898

**APPENDIX I:  
Ratio of Funding-to-Homeless Census by Continuum**

CoC Number and Name	Homeless Funding	Total Homeless	Funding Per Homeless	Equalized Funding	Change in Funding
TX-611 - Amarillo CoC	\$687,172	451	\$1,524	\$1,272,271	\$585,099
NV-502 - Nevada Balance of State CoC	\$564,650	370	\$1,526	\$1,043,770	\$479,120
VA-508 - Lynchburg CoC	\$337,808	220	\$1,535	\$620,620	\$282,812
WA-508 - Vancouver/Clark County CoC	\$1,074,231	695	\$1,546	\$1,960,595	\$886,364
AZ-500 - Arizona Balance of State CoC	\$3,710,405	2,398	\$1,547	\$6,764,758	\$3,054,353
MS-500 - Jackson/Rankin, Madison Counties CoC	\$1,346,733	846	\$1,592	\$2,386,566	\$1,039,833
CO-504 - Colorado Springs/El Paso County CoC	\$1,941,530	1,219	\$1,593	\$3,438,799	\$1,497,269
SC-502 - Columbia/Midlands CoC	\$2,539,758	1,588	\$1,599	\$4,479,748	\$1,939,990
PA-511 - Bristol, Bensalem/Bucks County CoC	\$793,872	492	\$1,614	\$1,387,932	\$594,060
IL-512 - Bloomington/Central Illinois CoC	\$1,091,159	669	\$1,631	\$1,887,249	\$796,090
VT-501 - Burlington/Chittenden County CoC	\$868,526	532	\$1,633	\$1,500,772	\$632,246
VA-502 - Roanoke City & County, Salem CoC	\$723,563	440	\$1,644	\$1,241,240	\$517,677
IL-513 - Springfield/Sangamon County CoC	\$446,269	269	\$1,659	\$758,849	\$312,580
NC-505 - Charlotte/Mecklenburg County CoC	\$3,348,835	2,014	\$1,663	\$5,681,494	\$2,332,659
MO-602 - Joplin/Jasper, Newton Counties CoC	\$525,040	315	\$1,667	\$888,615	\$363,575
NC-509 - Gastonia/Cleveland, Gaston, Lincoln Counties CoC	\$720,395	423	\$1,703	\$1,193,283	\$472,888
CA-601 - San Diego City and County CoC	\$14,550,797	8,506	\$1,711	\$23,995,426	\$9,444,629
NC-504 - Greensboro, High Point CoC	\$1,582,901	897	\$1,765	\$2,530,437	\$947,536
MD-508 - Charles, Calvert, St.Mary's Counties CoC	\$2,029,160	1,141	\$1,778	\$3,218,761	\$1,189,601
GA-501 - Georgia Balance of State CoC	\$13,732,191	7,577	\$1,812	\$21,374,717	\$7,642,526
NV-501 - Reno, Sparks/Washoe County CoC	\$1,402,388	769	\$1,824	\$2,169,349	\$766,961
MA-518 - Brookline, Newton CoC	\$1,350,203	737	\$1,832	\$2,079,077	\$728,874
HI-501 - Honolulu City and County CoC	\$8,700,322	4,712	\$1,846	\$13,292,552	\$4,592,230
CA-517 - Napa City & County CoC	\$529,867	285	\$1,859	\$803,985	\$274,118
WI-500 - Wisconsin Balance of State CoC	\$6,675,108	3,569	\$1,870	\$10,068,149	\$3,393,041
MA-507 - Pittsfield/Berkshire, Franklin, Hampshire Counties	\$1,410,594	753	\$1,873	\$2,124,213	\$713,619
OK-502 - Oklahoma City CoC	\$2,794,096	1,481	\$1,887	\$4,177,901	\$1,383,805
NC-503 - North Carolina Balance of State CoC	\$6,087,678	3,195	\$1,905	\$9,013,095	\$2,925,417
WA-503 - Tacoma, Lakewood/Pierce County CoC	\$2,793,912	1,464	\$1,908	\$4,129,944	\$1,336,032
KS-507 - Kansas Balance of State CoC	\$2,505,670	1,307	\$1,917	\$3,687,047	\$1,181,377
MN-504 - Northeast Minnesota CoC	\$383,531	200	\$1,918	\$564,200	\$180,669
MN-505 - St. Cloud/Central Minnesota CoC	\$1,166,695	607	\$1,922	\$1,712,347	\$545,652
TN-506 - Upper Cumberland CoC	\$663,911	342	\$1,941	\$964,782	\$300,871
FL-510 - Jacksonville-Duval, Clay Counties CoC	\$3,983,587	2,049	\$1,944	\$5,780,229	\$1,796,642
MN-506 - Northwest Minnesota CoC	\$590,089	299	\$1,974	\$843,479	\$253,390
NC-501 - Asheville/Buncombe County CoC	\$1,052,829	530	\$1,986	\$1,495,130	\$442,301
ID-501 - Idaho Balance of State CoC	\$2,711,687	1,351	\$2,007	\$3,811,171	\$1,099,484
CA-500 - San Jose, Santa Clara City & County CoC	\$15,211,204	7,567	\$2,010	\$21,346,507	\$6,135,303
PA-505 - Chester County CoC	\$1,366,038	679	\$2,012	\$1,915,459	\$549,421
SC-501 - Greenville, Anderson, Spartanburg / Upstate CoC	\$3,291,700	1,636	\$2,012	\$4,615,156	\$1,323,456
CA-513 - Visalia/Kings, Tulare Counties CoC	\$1,536,523	763	\$2,014	\$2,152,423	\$615,900
NE-501 - Omaha, Council Bluffs CoC	\$3,291,506	1,630	\$2,019	\$4,598,230	\$1,306,724
NE-502 - Lincoln CoC	\$1,693,888	836	\$2,026	\$2,358,356	\$664,468
OK-503 - Oklahoma Balance of State CoC	\$600,035	295	\$2,034	\$832,195	\$232,160
NC-507 - Raleigh/Wake County CoC	\$2,416,832	1,170	\$2,066	\$3,300,570	\$883,738
TN-510 - Murfreesboro/Rutherford County CoC	\$535,751	258	\$2,077	\$727,818	\$192,067
NC-506 - Wilmington/Brunswick, New Hanover, Pender	\$668,306	321	\$2,082	\$905,541	\$237,235
FL-603 - Ft Myers, Cape Coral/Lee County CoC	\$1,814,403	871	\$2,083	\$2,457,091	\$642,688
MD-509 - Frederick City & County CoC	\$529,717	246	\$2,153	\$693,966	\$164,249
NJ-507 - New Brunswick/Middlesex County CoC	\$1,733,294	802	\$2,161	\$2,262,442	\$529,148
TX-603 - El Paso City & County CoC	\$2,752,281	1,260	\$2,184	\$3,554,460	\$802,179



**APPENDIX I:  
Ratio of Funding-to-Homeless Census by Continuum**

CoC Number and Name	Homeless Funding	Total Homeless	Funding Per Homeless	Equalized Funding	Change in Funding
OK-504 - Norman/Cleveland County CoC	\$306,728	140	\$2,191	\$394,940	\$88,212
IA-501 - Iowa Balance of State CoC	\$4,256,399	1,939	\$2,195	\$5,469,919	\$1,213,520
MI-519 - Holland/Ottawa County CoC	\$861,262	387	\$2,225	\$1,091,727	\$230,465
NJ-503 - Camden City & County/Gloucester, Cape May,	\$3,149,321	1,389	\$2,267	\$3,918,369	\$769,048
CA-606 - Long Beach CoC	\$6,233,677	2,738	\$2,277	\$7,723,898	\$1,490,221
TN-509 - Appalachian Regional CoC	\$1,367,035	598	\$2,286	\$1,686,958	\$319,923
IN-503 - Indianapolis CoC	\$4,360,986	1,890	\$2,307	\$5,331,690	\$970,704
NJ-515 - Elizabeth/Union County CoC	\$3,915,685	1,679	\$2,332	\$4,736,459	\$820,774
VT-500 - Vermont Balance of State CoC	\$2,400,226	1,027	\$2,337	\$2,897,167	\$496,941
MI-507 - Portage, Kalamazoo City & County CoC	\$1,614,234	681	\$2,370	\$1,921,101	\$306,867
CA-600 - Los Angeles City & County CoC	\$81,634,457	34,393	\$2,374	\$97,022,653	\$15,388,196
WV-508 - West Virginia Balance of State CoC	\$3,176,896	1,338	\$2,374	\$3,774,498	\$597,602
TX-500 - San Antonio/Bexar County CoC	\$6,886,087	2,892	\$2,381	\$8,158,332	\$1,272,245
AL-502 - Florence/Northwest Alabama CoC	\$502,183	209	\$2,403	\$589,589	\$87,406
MN-500 - Minneapolis/Hennepin County CoC	\$8,987,502	3,731	\$2,409	\$10,525,151	\$1,537,649
DC-500 - District of Columbia CoC	\$18,668,053	7,748	\$2,409	\$21,857,108	\$3,189,055
OR-507 - Clackamas County CoC	\$1,492,601	618	\$2,415	\$1,743,378	\$250,777
AK-500 - Anchorage CoC	\$2,485,740	1,023	\$2,430	\$2,885,883	\$400,143
VA-603 - Alexandria CoC	\$655,345	267	\$2,454	\$753,207	\$97,862
TX-503 - Austin/Travis County CoC	\$4,877,187	1,987	\$2,455	\$5,605,327	\$728,140
GA-503 - Athens-Clarke County CoC	\$606,534	247	\$2,456	\$696,787	\$90,253
OK-501 - Tulsa City & County CoC	\$2,498,136	1,010	\$2,473	\$2,849,210	\$351,074
NY-513 - Wayne, Ontario, Seneca, Yates Counties CoC	\$228,283	92	\$2,481	\$259,532	\$31,249
MI-513 - Marquette, Alger Counties CoC	\$201,636	80	\$2,520	\$225,680	\$24,044
NY-501 - Elmira/Steuben, Allegany, Livingston, Chemung,	\$1,304,921	512	\$2,549	\$1,444,352	\$139,431
NM-501 - New Mexico Balance of State CoC	\$3,834,107	1,492	\$2,570	\$4,208,932	\$374,825
CA-514 - Fresno City & County/Madera County CoC	\$6,675,021	2,592	\$2,575	\$7,312,032	\$637,011
MD-506 - Carroll County CoC	\$322,618	124	\$2,602	\$349,804	\$27,186
IA-500 - Sioux City/Dakota, Woodbury Counties CoC	\$774,667	297	\$2,608	\$837,837	\$63,170
CA-510 - Turlock, Modesto/Stanislaus County CoC	\$3,056,323	1,156	\$2,644	\$3,261,076	\$204,753
UT-500 - Salt Lake City & County CoC	\$5,732,747	2,150	\$2,666	\$6,065,150	\$332,403
WA-502 - Spokane City & County CoC	\$3,065,140	1,149	\$2,668	\$3,241,329	\$176,189
LA-504 - Baton Rouge CoC	\$1,783,430	665	\$2,682	\$1,875,965	\$92,535
GA-507 - Savannah/Chatham County CoC	\$3,526,782	1,315	\$2,682	\$3,709,615	\$182,833
CA-511 - Stockton/San Joaquin County CoC	\$4,280,028	1,588	\$2,695	\$4,479,748	\$199,720
MO-606 - Missouri Balance of State CoC	\$4,169,153	1,528	\$2,729	\$4,310,488	\$141,335
NH-501 - Manchester CoC	\$1,116,201	407	\$2,743	\$1,148,147	\$31,946
NY-502 - Auburn/Cayuga County CoC	\$261,443	95	\$2,752	\$267,995	\$6,552
FL-501 - Tampa/Hillsborough County CoC	\$5,427,176	1,944	\$2,792	\$5,484,024	\$56,848
FL-507 - Orlando/Orange, Osceola, Seminole Counties CoC	\$6,334,394	2,254	\$2,810	\$6,358,534	\$24,140
CO-503 - Metropolitan Denver CoC	\$18,666,459	6,621	\$2,819	\$18,677,841	\$11,382
IL-520 - Southern Illinois CoC	\$923,472	325	\$2,841	\$916,825	(\$6,647)
SC-500 - Charleston/Low Country CoC	\$1,472,122	516	\$2,853	\$1,455,636	(\$16,486)
CA-609 - San Bernardino City & County CoC	\$6,608,630	2,315	\$2,855	\$6,530,615	(\$78,015)
IN-502 - Indiana Balance of State CoC	\$10,262,130	3,589	\$2,859	\$10,124,569	(\$137,561)
NY-601 - Poughkeepsie/Dutchess County CoC	\$1,153,273	403	\$2,862	\$1,136,863	(\$16,410)
CA-608 - Riverside City & County CoC	\$8,583,141	2,945	\$2,914	\$8,307,845	(\$275,296)
IA-502 - Des Moines/Polk County CoC	\$2,583,584	886	\$2,916	\$2,499,406	(\$84,178)
NY-607 - Sullivan County CoC	\$385,498	131	\$2,943	\$369,551	(\$15,947)
PA-512 - York City & County CoC	\$892,680	302	\$2,956	\$851,942	(\$40,738)
VA-503 - Virginia Beach CoC	\$1,303,344	440	\$2,962	\$1,241,240	(\$62,104)



**APPENDIX I:  
Ratio of Funding-to-Homeless Census by Continuum**

CoC Number and Name	Homeless Funding	Total Homeless	Funding Per Homeless	Equalized Funding	Change in Funding
IN-500 - South Bend, Mishawaka/St. Joseph County CoC	\$1,460,224	492	\$2,968	\$1,387,932	(\$72,292)
FL-605 - West Palm Beach/Palm Beach County CoC	\$4,744,733	1,596	\$2,973	\$4,502,316	(\$242,417)
MS-501 - Mississippi Balance of State CoC	\$2,879,777	954	\$3,019	\$2,691,234	(\$188,543)
NE-500 - Nebraska Balance of State CoC	\$1,696,702	560	\$3,030	\$1,579,760	(\$116,942)
NJ-512 - Salem County CoC	\$127,686	42	\$3,040	\$118,482	(\$9,204)
PR-503 - South-Southeast Puerto Rico CoC	\$6,240,540	2,047	\$3,049	\$5,774,587	(\$465,953)
MD-513 - Wicomico, Somerset, Worcester Counties CoC	\$1,024,705	336	\$3,050	\$947,856	(\$76,849)
WA-500 - Seattle/King County CoC	\$27,293,562	8,949	\$3,050	\$25,245,129	(\$2,048,433)
KS-505 - Overland Park, Shawnee/Johnson County CoC	\$516,874	167	\$3,095	\$471,107	(\$45,767)
IL-516 - Decatur/Macon County CoC	\$792,649	256	\$3,096	\$722,176	(\$70,473)
NY-608 - Kingston/Ulster County CoC	\$1,066,677	341	\$3,128	\$961,961	(\$104,716)
NY-519 - Columbia, Greene Counties CoC	\$400,986	128	\$3,133	\$361,088	(\$39,898)
MA-506 - Worcester City & County CoC	\$5,640,825	1,796	\$3,141	\$5,066,516	(\$574,309)
MD-502 - Harford County CoC	\$702,279	223	\$3,149	\$629,083	(\$73,196)
MI-500 - Michigan Balance of State CoC	\$7,158,588	2,253	\$3,177	\$6,355,713	(\$802,875)
NJ-504 - Newark/Essex County CoC	\$4,726,835	1,483	\$3,187	\$4,183,543	(\$543,292)
MI-517 - Jackson City & County CoC	\$765,000	240	\$3,188	\$677,040	(\$87,960)
VA-505 - Newport News, Hampton/Virginia Peninsula CoC	\$1,685,886	525	\$3,211	\$1,481,025	(\$204,861)
FL-601 - Ft Lauderdale/Broward County CoC	\$8,916,539	2,766	\$3,224	\$7,802,886	(\$1,113,653)
NC-500 - Winston-Salem/Forsyth County CoC	\$1,668,794	515	\$3,240	\$1,452,815	(\$215,979)
KY-500 - Kentucky Balance of State CoC	\$7,235,563	2,229	\$3,246	\$6,288,009	(\$947,554)
CA-512 - Daly City/San Mateo County CoC	\$6,587,037	2,024	\$3,254	\$5,709,704	(\$877,333)
WV-503 - Charleston/Kanawha, Putnam, Boone, Clay	\$1,175,858	360	\$3,266	\$1,015,560	(\$160,298)
NY-603 - Nassau, Suffolk Counties CoC	\$10,542,278	3,207	\$3,287	\$9,046,947	(\$1,495,331)
IL-517 - Aurora, Elgin/Kane County CoC	\$1,331,410	405	\$3,287	\$1,142,505	(\$188,905)
AR-500 - Little Rock/Central Arkansas CoC	\$3,534,112	1,074	\$3,291	\$3,029,754	(\$504,358)
MN-508 - Moorhead/West Central Minnesota CoC	\$704,298	214	\$3,291	\$603,694	(\$100,604)
KS-502 - Wichita/Sedgwick County CoC	\$2,118,233	631	\$3,357	\$1,780,051	(\$338,182)
MI-505 - Flint/Genesee County CoC	\$2,146,637	635	\$3,381	\$1,791,335	(\$355,302)
ME-502 - Portland CoC	\$2,665,037	786	\$3,391	\$2,217,306	(\$447,731)
OR-501 - Portland, Gresham/Multnomah County CoC	\$13,476,758	3,927	\$3,432	\$11,078,067	(\$2,398,691)
AZ-501 - Tucson/Pima County CoC	\$7,503,079	2,179	\$3,443	\$6,146,959	(\$1,356,120)
MI-503 - St. Clair Shores, Warren/Macomb County CoC	\$1,183,845	343	\$3,451	\$967,603	(\$216,242)
IL-501 - Rockford/Winnebago, Boone Counties CoC	\$1,418,226	410	\$3,459	\$1,156,610	(\$261,616)
GA-506 - Marietta/Cobb County CoC	\$1,817,127	523	\$3,474	\$1,475,383	(\$341,744)
PA-501 - Harrisburg/Dauphin County CoC	\$1,381,370	396	\$3,488	\$1,117,116	(\$264,254)
NJ-516 - Warren, Sussex, Hunterdon Counties CoC	\$982,584	279	\$3,522	\$787,059	(\$195,525)
MA-500 - Boston CoC	\$21,175,576	5,987	\$3,537	\$16,889,327	(\$4,286,249)
ME-500 - Maine Balance of State CoC	\$6,884,813	1,940	\$3,549	\$5,472,740	(\$1,412,073)
MA-516 - Massachusetts Balance of State CoC	\$9,934,934	2,798	\$3,551	\$7,893,158	(\$2,041,776)
WI-503 - Madison/Dane County CoC	\$2,773,352	777	\$3,569	\$2,191,917	(\$581,435)
NM-500 - Albuquerque CoC	\$4,481,729	1,254	\$3,574	\$3,537,534	(\$944,195)
TN-501 - Memphis/Shelby County CoC	\$6,008,363	1,675	\$3,587	\$4,725,175	(\$1,283,188)
IL-507 - Peoria, Pekin/Fulton, Tazewell, Peoria, Woodford	\$1,455,059	405	\$3,593	\$1,142,505	(\$312,554)
GA-505 - Columbus-Muscogee/Russell County CoC	\$1,121,624	312	\$3,595	\$880,152	(\$241,472)
MN-502 - Rochester/Southeast Minnesota CoC	\$1,742,540	482	\$3,615	\$1,359,722	(\$382,818)
MA-519 - Attleboro, Taunton/Bristol County CoC	\$803,906	222	\$3,621	\$626,262	(\$177,644)
IL-503 - Champaign, Urbana, Rantoul/Champaign County	\$742,610	205	\$3,622	\$578,305	(\$164,305)
PA-510 - Lancaster City & County CoC	\$1,813,792	498	\$3,642	\$1,404,858	(\$408,934)
OH-507 - Ohio Balance of State CoC	\$13,931,934	3,806	\$3,661	\$10,736,726	(\$3,195,208)
CA-507 - Marin County CoC	\$2,505,015	679	\$3,689	\$1,915,459	(\$589,556)

**APPENDIX I:  
Ratio of Funding-to-Homeless Census by Continuum**

CoC Number and Name	Homeless Funding	Total Homeless	Funding Per Homeless	Equalized Funding	Change in Funding
CA-501 - San Francisco CoC	\$23,681,691	6,408	\$3,696	\$18,076,968	(\$5,604,723)
MO-500 - St. Louis County CoC	\$1,488,861	402	\$3,704	\$1,134,042	(\$354,819)
MN-501 - St. Paul/Ramsey County CoC	\$5,555,749	1,500	\$3,704	\$4,231,500	(\$1,324,249)
MN-503 - Dakota, Anoka, Washington, Scott, Carver	\$2,750,886	735	\$3,743	\$2,073,435	(\$677,451)
CA-604 - Bakersfield/Kern County CoC	\$3,750,138	992	\$3,780	\$2,798,432	(\$951,706)
KS-503 - Topeka/Shawnee County CoC	\$1,591,116	416	\$3,825	\$1,173,536	(\$417,580)
FL-503 - Lakeland, Winterhaven/Polk County CoC	\$1,441,281	376	\$3,833	\$1,060,696	(\$380,585)
IL-519 - West Central Illinois CoC	\$555,955	145	\$3,834	\$409,045	(\$146,910)
OH-508 - Canton, Massillon, Alliance/Stark County CoC	\$2,043,106	531	\$3,848	\$1,497,951	(\$545,155)
MA-503 - Cape Cod Islands CoC	\$1,476,329	381	\$3,875	\$1,074,801	(\$401,528)
CA-607 - Pasadena CoC	\$2,587,589	666	\$3,885	\$1,878,786	(\$708,803)
AZ-502 - Phoenix, Mesa/Maricopa County CoC	\$23,053,021	5,918	\$3,895	\$16,694,678	(\$6,358,343)
RI-500 - Rhode Island Statewide CoC	\$4,655,373	1,190	\$3,912	\$3,356,990	(\$1,298,383)
TX-604 - Waco/McLennan County CoC	\$967,857	246	\$3,934	\$693,966	(\$273,891)
WV-500 - Wheeling, Weirton Area CoC	\$383,379	97	\$3,952	\$273,637	(\$109,742)
NJ-509 - Morris County CoC	\$1,540,323	389	\$3,960	\$1,097,369	(\$442,954)
PA-506 - Reading/Berks County CoC	\$2,416,639	604	\$4,001	\$1,703,884	(\$712,755)
MD-505 - Baltimore County CoC	\$2,288,725	569	\$4,022	\$1,605,149	(\$683,576)
IL-518 - Rock Island, Moline/Northwestern Illinois CoC	\$883,928	218	\$4,055	\$614,978	(\$268,950)
AL-504 - Montgomery City & County CoC	\$1,988,621	490	\$4,058	\$1,382,290	(\$606,331)
TX-601 - Fort Worth, Arlington/Tarrant County CoC	\$9,883,830	2,425	\$4,076	\$6,840,925	(\$3,042,905)
TN-500 - Chattanooga/Southeast Tennessee CoC	\$2,555,741	627	\$4,076	\$1,768,767	(\$786,974)
PA-509 - Eastern Pennsylvania CoC	\$8,435,252	2,011	\$4,195	\$5,673,031	(\$2,762,221)
GA-508 - DeKalb County CoC	\$3,362,667	801	\$4,198	\$2,259,621	(\$1,103,046)
TX-600 - Dallas City & County, Irving CoC	\$14,862,633	3,514	\$4,230	\$9,912,994	(\$4,949,639)
TX-700 - Houston, Pasadena/Harris, Fort Bend Counties CoC	\$22,969,927	5,308	\$4,327	\$14,973,868	(\$7,996,059)
NY-514 - Jamestown, Dunkirk/Chautauqua County CoC	\$231,522	53	\$4,368	\$149,513	(\$82,009)
NH-500 - New Hampshire Balance of State CoC	\$3,035,844	689	\$4,406	\$1,943,669	(\$1,092,175)
AR-505 - Southeast Arkansas CoC	\$311,641	70	\$4,452	\$197,470	(\$114,171)
NY-523 - Glens Falls, Saratoga Springs/Saratoga,	\$1,166,899	262	\$4,454	\$739,102	(\$427,797)
OH-506 - Akron/Summit County CoC	\$3,876,668	870	\$4,456	\$2,454,270	(\$1,422,398)
LA-507 - Alexandria/Central Louisiana CoC	\$628,558	141	\$4,458	\$397,761	(\$230,797)
OR-506 - Hillsboro, Beaverton/Washington County CoC	\$2,401,225	537	\$4,472	\$1,514,877	(\$886,348)
CA-602 - Santa Ana, Anaheim/Orange County CoC	\$17,192,119	3,833	\$4,485	\$10,812,893	(\$6,379,226)
MI-508 - Lansing, East Lansing/Ingham County CoC	\$1,925,098	429	\$4,487	\$1,210,209	(\$714,889)
NY-510 - Ithaca/Tompkins County CoC	\$211,918	47	\$4,509	\$132,587	(\$79,331)
MD-512 - Hagerstown/Washington County CoC	\$482,474	107	\$4,509	\$301,847	(\$180,627)
MN-511 - Southwest Minnesota CoC	\$820,187	180	\$4,557	\$507,780	(\$312,407)
VA-500 - Richmond/Henrico, Chesterfield, Hanover Counties	\$3,869,948	846	\$4,574	\$2,386,566	(\$1,483,382)
MA-502 - Lynn CoC	\$1,757,403	382	\$4,601	\$1,077,622	(\$679,781)
NC-513 - Chapel Hill/Orange County CoC	\$499,887	108	\$4,629	\$304,668	(\$195,219)
NY-511 - Binghamton, Union Town/Broome, Otsego,	\$1,440,466	309	\$4,662	\$871,689	(\$568,777)
CA-505 - Richmond/Contra Costa County CoC	\$9,373,141	2,009	\$4,666	\$5,667,389	(\$3,705,752)
NJ-514 - Trenton/Mercer County CoC	\$2,950,924	632	\$4,669	\$1,782,872	(\$1,168,052)
MD-504 - Howard County CoC	\$793,942	170	\$4,670	\$479,570	(\$314,372)
OH-501 - Toledo/Lucas County CoC	\$3,822,659	809	\$4,725	\$2,282,189	(\$1,540,470)
MO-604 - Kansas City, Independence, Lee's Summit/Jackson	\$9,198,529	1,931	\$4,764	\$5,447,351	(\$3,751,178)
PA-500 - Philadelphia CoC	\$27,360,457	5,738	\$4,768	\$16,186,898	(\$11,173,559)
IL-500 - McHenry County CoC	\$800,790	166	\$4,824	\$468,286	(\$332,504)
NY-606 - Rockland County CoC	\$603,398	125	\$4,827	\$352,625	(\$250,773)
MA-515 - Fall River CoC	\$1,712,407	354	\$4,837	\$998,634	(\$713,773)

**APPENDIX I:  
Ratio of Funding-to-Homeless Census by Continuum**

CoC Number and Name	Homeless Funding	Total Homeless	Funding Per Homeless	Equalized Funding	Change in Funding
WI-502 - Racine City & County CoC	\$1,020,822	210	\$4,861	\$592,410	(\$428,412)
NJ-508 - Monmouth County CoC	\$2,873,155	588	\$4,886	\$1,658,748	(\$1,214,407)
MA-505 - New Bedford CoC	\$1,711,557	349	\$4,904	\$984,529	(\$727,028)
IL-502 - Waukegan, North Chicago/Lake County CoC	\$2,014,482	409	\$4,925	\$1,153,789	(\$860,693)
NJ-506 - Jersey City,Bayonne/Hudson County CoC	\$4,059,001	821	\$4,944	\$2,316,041	(\$1,742,960)
MI-510 - Saginaw City & County CoC	\$1,622,168	325	\$4,991	\$916,825	(\$705,343)
LA-500 - Lafayette/Acadiana Regional CoC	\$2,406,809	471	\$5,110	\$1,328,691	(\$1,078,118)
GA-502 - Fulton County CoC	\$2,440,575	477	\$5,117	\$1,345,617	(\$1,094,958)
PA-605 - Erie City & County CoC	\$2,100,851	408	\$5,149	\$1,150,968	(\$949,883)
PA-504 - Lower Merion, Norristown, Abington/Montgomery	\$2,259,016	438	\$5,158	\$1,235,598	(\$1,023,418)
KS-501 - Kansas City/Wyandotte County CoC	\$1,356,515	262	\$5,178	\$739,102	(\$617,413)
MI-523 - Eaton County CoC	\$652,461	126	\$5,178	\$355,446	(\$297,015)
MO-603 - St. Joseph/Andrew, Buchanan, DeKalb Counties	\$1,052,833	200	\$5,264	\$564,200	(\$488,633)
VA-501 - Norfolk/Chesapeake, Suffolk, Isle of Wright,	\$3,527,897	668	\$5,281	\$1,884,428	(\$1,643,469)
LA-502 - Shreveport/Bossier/Northwest Louisiana CoC	\$3,501,541	655	\$5,346	\$1,847,755	(\$1,653,786)
NY-602 - Newburgh, Middletown/Orange County CoC	\$2,273,319	421	\$5,400	\$1,187,641	(\$1,085,678)
VA-601 - Fairfax County CoC	\$6,736,973	1,225	\$5,500	\$3,455,725	(\$3,281,248)
AL-500 - Birmingham/Jefferson, St. Clair, Shelby Counties	\$7,321,464	1,329	\$5,509	\$3,749,109	(\$3,572,355)
IL-514 - Dupage County CoC	\$3,616,147	654	\$5,529	\$1,844,934	(\$1,771,213)
MD-503 - Annapolis/Anne Arundel County CoC	\$2,130,560	384	\$5,548	\$1,083,264	(\$1,047,296)
MI-506 - Grand Rapids/Wyoming/Kent County CoC	\$4,451,364	793	\$5,613	\$2,237,053	(\$2,214,311)
CA-502 - Oakland, Berkeley/Alameda County CoC	\$24,000,594	4,272	\$5,618	\$12,051,312	(\$11,949,282)
IL-509 - DeKalb City & County CoC	\$541,828	96	\$5,644	\$270,816	(\$271,012)
AL-501 - Mobile City & County/Baldwin County CoC	\$3,377,011	598	\$5,647	\$1,686,958	(\$1,690,053)
TN-503 - Central Tennessee CoC	\$1,643,594	286	\$5,747	\$806,806	(\$836,788)
NH-502 - Nashua/Hillsborough County CoC	\$1,624,782	280	\$5,803	\$789,880	(\$834,902)
VA-600 - Arlington County CoC	\$1,689,685	291	\$5,806	\$820,911	(\$868,774)
PR-502 - Puerto Rico Balance of Commonwealth CoC	\$12,146,755	2,085	\$5,826	\$5,881,785	(\$6,264,970)
WA-504 - Everett/Snohomish County CoC	\$5,530,933	949	\$5,828	\$2,677,129	(\$2,853,804)
MD-500 - Cumberland/Allegany County CoC	\$712,463	120	\$5,937	\$338,520	(\$373,943)
MA-509 - Cambridge CoC	\$3,272,829	551	\$5,940	\$1,554,371	(\$1,718,458)
OH-503 - Columbus/Franklin County CoC	\$9,589,317	1,614	\$5,941	\$4,553,094	(\$5,036,223)
LA-505 - Monroe/Northeast Louisiana CoC	\$1,312,569	220	\$5,966	\$620,620	(\$691,949)
NY-503 - Albany City & County CoC	\$3,892,555	650	\$5,989	\$1,833,650	(\$2,058,905)
MD-511 - Mid-Shore Regional CoC	\$667,477	111	\$6,013	\$313,131	(\$354,346)
KY-501 - Louisville-Jefferson County CoC	\$7,985,051	1,316	\$6,068	\$3,712,436	(\$4,272,615)
WI-501 - Milwaukee City & County CoC	\$9,300,469	1,499	\$6,204	\$4,228,679	(\$5,071,790)
MN-509 - Duluth/St. Louis County CoC	\$2,750,872	429	\$6,412	\$1,210,209	(\$1,540,663)
PA-601 - Western Pennsylvania CoC	\$7,116,882	1,101	\$6,464	\$3,105,921	(\$4,010,961)
DE-500 - Delaware Statewide CoC	\$5,865,555	901	\$6,510	\$2,541,721	(\$3,323,834)
UT-504 - Provo/Mountainland CoC	\$986,605	149	\$6,622	\$420,329	(\$566,276)
CA-503 - Sacramento City & County CoC	\$16,399,468	2,449	\$6,696	\$6,908,629	(\$9,490,839)
MD-600 - Prince George's County CoC	\$4,423,106	659	\$6,712	\$1,859,039	(\$2,564,067)
MO-501 - St. Louis CoC	\$9,100,248	1,354	\$6,721	\$3,819,634	(\$5,280,614)
FL-600 - Miami-Dade County CoC	\$28,261,615	4,156	\$6,800	\$11,724,076	(\$16,537,539)
MI-502 - Dearborn, Dearborn Heights, Westland/Wayne	\$3,101,042	456	\$6,801	\$1,286,376	(\$1,814,666)
NY-604 - Yonkers, Mount Vernon/Westchester County CoC	\$14,583,442	2,138	\$6,821	\$6,031,298	(\$8,552,144)
VA-507 - Portsmouth CoC	\$1,130,272	160	\$7,064	\$451,360	(\$678,912)
CA-612 - Glendale CoC	\$2,083,805	292	\$7,136	\$823,732	(\$1,260,073)
NY-522 - Jefferson, Lewis, St. Lawrence Counties CoC	\$1,079,897	149	\$7,248	\$420,329	(\$659,568)
OH-504 - Youngstown/Mahoning County CoC	\$1,870,851	256	\$7,308	\$722,176	(\$1,148,675)

**APPENDIX I:  
Ratio of Funding-to-Homeless Census by Continuum**

CoC Number and Name	Homeless Funding	Total Homeless	Funding Per Homeless	Equalized Funding	Change in Funding
MD-501 - Baltimore CoC	\$18,968,228	2,567	\$7,389	\$7,241,507	(\$11,726,721)
MD-601 - Montgomery County CoC	\$6,603,446	891	\$7,411	\$2,513,511	(\$4,089,935)
CT-502 - Hartford CoC	\$5,277,448	709	\$7,444	\$2,000,089	(\$3,277,359)
LA-506 - Slidell/Southeast Louisiana CoC	\$1,957,410	262	\$7,471	\$739,102	(\$1,218,308)
LA-503 - New Orleans/Jefferson Parish CoC	\$14,833,264	1,981	\$7,488	\$5,588,401	(\$9,244,863)
WV-501 - Huntington/Cabell, Wayne Counties CoC	\$1,641,088	218	\$7,528	\$614,978	(\$1,026,110)
IL-504 - Madison County CoC	\$1,810,416	240	\$7,543	\$677,040	(\$1,133,376)
IL-515 - South Central Illinois CoC	\$901,990	118	\$7,644	\$332,878	(\$569,112)
MI-501 - Detroit CoC	\$21,626,730	2,755	\$7,850	\$7,771,855	(\$13,854,875)
PA-502 - Upper Darby, Chester, Haverford/Delaware County	\$4,000,103	509	\$7,859	\$1,435,889	(\$2,564,214)
PA-508 - Scranton/Lackawanna County CoC	\$2,273,598	287	\$7,922	\$809,627	(\$1,463,971)
MI-509 - Washtenaw County CoC	\$4,444,781	545	\$8,156	\$1,537,445	(\$2,907,336)
IL-508 - East St. Louis, Belleville/St. Clair County CoC	\$2,789,032	339	\$8,227	\$956,319	(\$1,832,713)
IL-510 - Chicago CoC	\$52,639,985	6,287	\$8,373	\$17,735,627	(\$34,904,358)
CT-505 - Connecticut Balance of State CoC	\$20,953,292	2,488	\$8,422	\$7,018,648	(\$13,934,644)
IL-511 - Cook County CoC	\$10,176,981	1,182	\$8,610	\$3,334,422	(\$6,842,559)
LA-508 - Houma-Terrebonne, Thibodaux CoC	\$1,046,023	121	\$8,645	\$341,341	(\$704,682)
NJ-511 - Paterson/Passaic County CoC	\$3,255,866	376	\$8,659	\$1,060,696	(\$2,195,170)
NY-505 - Syracuse/Onondaga, Oswego Counties CoC	\$7,721,441	857	\$9,010	\$2,417,597	(\$5,303,844)
OH-505 - Dayton, Kettering/Montgomery County CoC	\$7,130,995	791	\$9,015	\$2,231,411	(\$4,899,584)
PA-600 - Pittsburgh, McKeesport, Penn Hills/Allegheny	\$14,280,421	1,573	\$9,078	\$4,437,433	(\$9,842,988)
NY-508 - Buffalo, Niagara Falls/Erie, Niagara, Orleans,	\$9,109,841	1,000	\$9,110	\$2,821,000	(\$6,288,841)
MA-511 - Quincy, Brockton, Weymouth, Plymouth City and	\$3,985,610	428	\$9,312	\$1,207,388	(\$2,778,222)
NJ-501 - Bergen County CoC	\$3,494,263	371	\$9,418	\$1,046,591	(\$2,447,672)
IL-506 - Joliet, Bolingbrook/Will County CoC	\$3,020,998	309	\$9,777	\$871,689	(\$2,149,309)
MI-504 - Pontiac, Royal Oak/Oakland County CoC	\$4,556,049	457	\$9,969	\$1,289,197	(\$3,266,852)
OH-502 - Cleveland/Cuyahoga County CoC	\$22,310,639	2,103	\$10,609	\$5,932,563	(\$16,378,076)
NY-504 - Cattaraugus County CoC	\$357,431	33	\$10,831	\$93,093	(\$264,338)
MA-517 - Somerville CoC	\$1,847,719	154	\$11,998	\$434,434	(\$1,413,285)
NY-507 - Schenectady City & County CoC	\$2,929,024	243	\$12,054	\$685,503	(\$2,243,521)
NY-500 - Rochester, Irondequoit, Greece/Monroe County	\$10,263,075	838	\$12,247	\$2,363,998	(\$7,899,077)
NY-512 - Troy/Rensselaer County CoC	\$2,676,929	216	\$12,393	\$609,336	(\$2,067,593)
OH-500 - Cincinnati/Hamilton County CoC	\$14,093,437	1,043	\$13,512	\$2,942,303	(\$11,151,134)
PA-603 - Beaver County CoC	\$1,386,720	95	\$14,597	\$267,995	(\$1,118,725)
PA-503 - Wilkes-Barre, Hazleton, Luzerne County CoC	\$3,377,335	192	\$17,590	\$541,632	(\$2,835,703)
CT-503 - Bridgeport, Stamford, Norwalk/Fairfield County CoC	\$8,024,575	440	\$18,238	\$1,241,240	(\$6,783,335)
NY-518 - Utica, Rome/Oneida, Madison Counties CoC	\$2,981,170	159	\$18,749	\$448,539	(\$2,532,631)
MD-510 - Garrett County CoC	\$255,738	13	\$19,672	\$36,673	(\$219,065)
LA-509 - Louisiana Balance of State CoC	\$9,918,796	104	NA	\$293,384	(\$9,625,412)
CA-525 - El Dorado County CoC	\$19,434	195	NA	\$550,095	\$530,661
AL-505 - Gadsden/Northeast Alabama CoC	\$25,736	438	NA	\$1,235,598	\$1,209,862
CA-524 - Yuba City/Sutter County CoC	\$13,134	726	NA	\$2,048,046	\$2,034,912
CA-523 - Colusa, Glenn, Trinity Counties CoC	\$6,381	567	NA	\$1,599,507	\$1,593,126
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$1,619,875,753</b>	<b>574,156</b>	<b>\$2,821</b>	<b>\$1,619,694,076</b>	<b>\$454,999,271</b>

**APPENDIX II:  
Ratio of Permanent to Homeless Housing**

CoC Number	Number of Homeless Beds	Number of Permanent Beds	Ratio of Permanent to Homeless Beds	Funding per Homeless
NY-512	134	692	5.16	\$4,076
NY-522	172	745	4.33	\$4,406
NY-518	171	634	3.71	\$4,230
OH-500	951	3,232	3.40	\$4,557
CA-501	2,286	7,469	3.27	\$486
MD-601	600	1,902	3.17	\$2,602
OH-503	897	2,678	2.99	\$4,629
CT-512	171	492	2.88	NA
OR-506	243	697	2.87	\$5,149
NY-607	19	54	2.84	\$4,509
MN-508	159	431	2.71	\$3,050
NY-520	50	134	2.68	\$4,368
OR-507	132	349	2.64	\$5,158
NJ-514	552	1,433	2.60	\$3,704
FL-508	333	852	2.56	\$1,170
PA-505	709	1,756	2.48	\$5,400
NY-500	759	1,843	2.43	\$3,885
CA-509	190	441	2.32	\$606
VA-500	737	1,680	2.28	\$7,543
MI-504	250	561	2.24	\$2,695
GA-508	616	1,378	2.24	\$1,500
CA-500	1,744	3,877	2.22	\$473
LA-503	1,305	2,784	2.13	\$2,014
OH-502	1,936	4,119	2.13	\$4,601
MN-500	3,214	6,820	2.12	\$2,962
MI-505	321	681	2.12	\$2,729
MD-511	52	110	2.12	\$2,520
OR-501	1,907	3,995	2.09	\$4,944
LA-508	138	281	2.04	\$2,077
WA-504	607	1,205	1.99	\$12,054
CA-604	671	1,330	1.98	\$817
OK-504	83	164	1.98	\$4,837
WV-501	261	515	1.97	\$19,672
MN-509	332	651	1.96	\$3,050
NY-503	483	944	1.95	\$3,934
MI-516	247	480	1.94	\$2,862
CT-505	1,815	3,488	1.92	\$1,026
FL-605	864	1,646	1.91	\$1,401
LA-507	147	280	1.90	\$2,066
NY-510	45	85	1.89	\$4,055
UT-504	141	264	1.87	\$7,528
CT-503	401	750	1.87	\$1,020
VA-501	491	899	1.83	\$7,644
NY-504	46	84	1.83	\$3,952
MN-504	83	151	1.82	\$3,030

**APPENDIX II:  
Ratio of Permanent to Homeless Housing**

CoC Number	Number of Homeless Beds	Number of Permanent Beds	Ratio of Permanent to Homeless Beds	Funding per Homeless
MN-506	230	412	1.79	\$3,049
MA-506	1,184	2,105	1.78	\$2,195
TN-500	295	523	1.77	\$5,989
CA-503	1,557	2,724	1.75	\$492
NY-507	224	385	1.72	\$4,001
MN-501	1,478	2,528	1.71	\$2,968
WI-503	616	1,031	1.67	\$18,238
GA-503	143	238	1.66	\$1,445
AL-500	1,065	1,729	1.62	\$203
NY-519	37	60	1.62	\$4,327
CA-505	663	1,075	1.62	\$528
MA-511	205	326	1.59	\$2,307
OH-505	739	1,129	1.53	\$4,666
IL-510	5,991	8,870	1.48	\$1,711
PA-503	230	340	1.48	\$5,281
NJ-501	368	540	1.47	\$3,574
MI-513	54	79	1.46	\$2,853
OH-501	931	1,338	1.44	\$4,574
FL-501	1,049	1,504	1.43	\$1,097
KY-501	1,150	1,632	1.42	\$2,007
NY-505	873	1,230	1.41	\$3,960
NC-501	460	642	1.40	\$3,266
CA-600	11,846	16,412	1.39	\$790
NJ-510	118	163	1.38	\$3,661
TN-507	275	379	1.38	\$6,510
MD-501	2,424	3,336	1.38	\$2,403
CT-502	622	848	1.36	\$1,003
NJ-508	267	364	1.36	\$3,622
NY-608	124	169	1.36	\$4,509
RI-500	1,225	1,669	1.36	\$5,828
IL-508	204	277	1.36	\$1,667
CT-506	156	211	1.35	NA
UT-500	1,761	2,373	1.35	\$7,471
PA-600	1,541	2,075	1.35	\$5,644
VA-505	455	610	1.34	\$8,156
NY-501	430	576	1.34	\$3,895
MO-603	198	264	1.33	\$3,177
MN-511	142	189	1.33	\$3,095
IL-511	826	1,091	1.32	\$1,765
SC-500	385	507	1.32	#REF!
NJ-512	57	75	1.32	\$3,696
NJ-511	531	698	1.31	\$3,689
MA-504	1,418	1,857	1.31	\$2,184
MI-509	336	437	1.30	\$2,821
NM-500	898	1,159	1.29	\$3,825



**APPENDIX II:  
Ratio of Permanent to Homeless Housing**

CoC Number	Number of Homeless Beds	Number of Permanent Beds	Ratio of Permanent to Homeless Beds	Funding per Homeless
VA-600	196	248	1.27	\$8,659
CA-502	2,010	2,537	1.26	\$487
PA-605	439	552	1.26	\$5,803
PR-502	1,202	1,500	1.25	\$5,806
VA-507	135	168	1.24	\$8,227
KS-503	394	486	1.23	\$1,941
NH-501	361	444	1.23	\$3,549
AL-506	155	188	1.21	\$298
DC-500	7,281	8,740	1.20	\$1,045
MO-501	1,359	1,619	1.19	\$3,128
FL-600	3,649	4,320	1.18	\$1,357
NY-602	249	291	1.17	\$4,458
MI-506	809	944	1.17	\$2,743
NY-523	156	182	1.17	\$4,452
AZ-501	1,847	2,124	1.15	\$418
MI-508	407	467	1.15	\$2,792
CA-602	2,013	2,309	1.15	\$796
IL-506	325	372	1.14	\$1,659
NC-505	1,685	1,923	1.14	\$3,291
VA-514	154	174	1.13	\$8,610
NJ-503	696	784	1.13	\$3,593
PA-602	525	590	1.12	NA
TX-700	3,715	4,164	1.12	\$7,411
OH-504	285	316	1.11	\$4,662
NJ-515	400	443	1.11	\$3,743
TX-500	2,406	2,652	1.10	\$6,721
AR-500	791	870	1.10	\$347
NC-513	100	109	1.09	\$3,443
CA-507	479	521	1.09	\$561
WA-508	469	505	1.08	\$12,393
CA-606	973	1,046	1.08	\$822
PA-500	5,688	6,114	1.07	\$5,178
MS-503	108	116	1.07	\$3,240
OH-508	447	479	1.07	\$4,725
MI-514	287	306	1.07	\$2,855
LA-506	315	335	1.06	\$2,034
TN-510	213	226	1.06	\$6,696
GA-507	637	675	1.06	\$1,500
MI-501	2,521	2,666	1.06	\$2,668
MO-503	265	279	1.05	\$3,133
CA-504	975	1,013	1.04	\$499
MD-505	430	445	1.03	\$2,430
NY-508	1,070	1,103	1.03	\$4,022
MA-509	491	504	1.03	\$2,277
MD-600	450	461	1.02	\$2,575

**APPENDIX II:  
Ratio of Permanent to Homeless Housing**

CoC Number	Number of Homeless Beds	Number of Permanent Beds	Ratio of Permanent to Homeless Beds	Funding per Homeless
AL-504	463	472	1.02	\$274
WA-501	4,047	4,124	1.02	\$10,609
MI-502	403	409	1.01	\$2,682
CA-514	924	937	1.01	\$684
NH-502	306	310	1.01	\$3,551
NY-511	319	322	1.01	\$4,058
SC-503	506	509	1.01	\$5,941
NJ-506	414	416	1.00	\$3,615
NC-500	471	472	1.00	\$3,254
AZ-502	5,584	5,594	1.00	\$452
OH-506	665	666	1.00	\$4,669
MA-500	6,189	6,184	1.00	\$2,083
FL-601	2,026	2,022	1.00	\$1,361
ME-500	2,049	2,027	0.99	\$2,608
NC-509	263	259	0.98	\$3,391
FL-519	172	168	0.98	\$1,342
PA-603	127	123	0.97	\$5,747
NC-507	1,022	989	0.97	\$3,381
GA-502	365	352	0.96	\$1,444
MD-513	206	198	0.96	\$2,570
MD-500	131	125	0.95	\$2,381
MA-503	299	285	0.95	\$2,161
MD-503	243	231	0.95	\$2,409
MO-604	1,879	1,786	0.95	\$3,187
MO-500	399	375	0.94	\$3,096
FL-509	314	293	0.93	\$1,176
FL-506	578	538	0.93	\$1,161
TX-601	2,180	2,023	0.93	\$6,821
OH-507	3,908	3,620	0.93	\$4,670
MI-507	582	521	0.90	\$2,752
MA-507	556	496	0.89	\$2,225
TN-509	557	496	0.89	\$6,622
VT-500	583	519	0.89	\$9,418
WA-503	1,079	959	0.89	\$11,998
IA-502	861	765	0.89	\$1,547
MN-502	520	460	0.88	\$2,973
TN-502	800	704	0.88	\$6,068
CA-607	261	227	0.87	\$840
TX-503	1,310	1,123	0.86	\$6,800
GA-501	2,756	2,357	0.86	\$1,411
MN-503	642	549	0.86	\$3,019
WI-501	1,554	1,297	0.83	\$14,597
MI-510	405	337	0.83	\$2,810
NJ-518	65	54	0.83	NA
CA-614	214	175	0.82	\$906



**APPENDIX II:  
Ratio of Permanent to Homeless Housing**

CoC Number	Number of Homeless Beds	Number of Permanent Beds	Ratio of Permanent to Homeless Beds	Funding per Homeless
MN-505	536	437	0.82	\$3,040
FL-510	1,714	1,395	0.81	\$1,180
VA-503	345	279	0.81	\$7,859
MO-606	2,208	1,768	0.80	\$3,188
CA-512	858	687	0.80	\$667
IL-501	465	372	0.80	\$1,614
MD-510	30	24	0.80	\$2,481
PA-509	907	722	0.80	\$5,529
OR-502	510	404	0.79	\$4,991
NJ-507	374	296	0.79	\$3,621
LA-505	294	232	0.79	\$2,026
CA-603	726	569	0.78	\$802
SC-502	1,123	870	0.77	\$5,940
TX-600	3,954	3,036	0.77	\$6,801
MD-502	154	118	0.77	\$2,409
CA-519	319	241	0.76	\$717
NC-511	278	209	0.75	\$3,432
CA-611	442	332	0.75	\$868
NV-502	256	192	0.75	\$3,875
MA-517	174	130	0.75	\$2,370
NY-604	2,396	1,788	0.75	\$4,485
GA-505	226	168	0.74	\$1,491
PA-601	813	603	0.74	\$5,647
MA-505	379	281	0.74	\$2,191
ND-500	936	693	0.74	\$3,459
NY-603	2,877	2,121	0.74	\$4,472
CA-601	4,531	3,335	0.74	\$794
ME-502	681	497	0.73	\$2,644
MD-504	114	83	0.73	\$2,415
IL-509	116	84	0.72	\$1,703
CA-608	1,385	998	0.72	\$843
VA-601	956	688	0.72	\$9,010
MA-518	228	164	0.72	\$2,374
FL-603	486	348	0.72	\$1,375
IN-503	1,831	1,311	0.72	\$1,917
WA-500	6,664	4,765	0.72	\$9,969
MA-510	614	436	0.71	\$2,286
GA-506	401	284	0.71	\$1,491
NH-500	731	513	0.70	\$3,537
KS-502	613	429	0.70	\$1,922
PR-503	760	531	0.70	\$5,826
OR-500	718	494	0.69	\$4,925
PA-506	530	364	0.69	\$5,500
CO-504	861	590	0.69	\$991
NY-502	92	63	0.68	\$3,912

**APPENDIX II:  
Ratio of Permanent to Homeless Housing**

CoC Number	Number of Homeless Beds	Number of Permanent Beds	Ratio of Permanent to Homeless Beds	Funding per Homeless
TN-504	2,027	1,384	0.68	\$6,412
CA-612	142	96	0.68	\$874
WA-502	1,355	912	0.67	\$10,831
NJ-513	352	234	0.66	\$3,704
CT-508	246	163	0.66	NA
IL-502	353	233	0.66	\$1,631
AK-500	988	644	0.65	\$100
MD-507	171	111	0.65	\$2,455
IL-504	234	151	0.65	\$1,644
NY-606	157	101	0.64	\$4,487
CA-510	609	391	0.64	\$641
KY-500	1,702	1,091	0.64	\$1,986
VA-604	350	224	0.64	\$9,110
NC-504	753	480	0.64	\$3,291
MA-516	1,112	706	0.63	\$2,337
CA-508	642	406	0.63	\$566
NJ-509	293	184	0.63	\$3,642
AZ-500	1,442	904	0.63	\$395
PA-502	619	386	0.62	\$5,264
DE-500	1,172	728	0.62	\$1,056
CA-609	1,110	684	0.62	\$847
ID-500	772	473	0.61	\$1,592
PA-512	347	209	0.60	\$5,618
MD-508	409	244	0.60	\$2,456
WV-508	1,157	686	0.59	NA
TN-503	321	190	0.59	\$6,204
FL-507	2,466	1,454	0.59	\$1,165
ID-501	996	581	0.58	\$1,593
MS-500	572	332	0.58	\$3,211
VA-504	166	96	0.58	\$7,922
MD-512	226	130	0.58	\$2,549
LA-502	836	480	0.57	\$2,012
PA-504	432	247	0.57	\$5,346
NY-516	148	84	0.57	\$4,198
CA-515	408	230	0.56	\$685
KS-501	245	138	0.56	\$1,918
MA-515	307	171	0.56	\$2,332
NJ-504	1,777	983	0.55	\$3,595
GU-500	186	102	0.55	\$1,503
IL-500	174	95	0.55	\$1,599
NV-500	3,992	2,167	0.54	\$3,834
IN-502	4,527	2,454	0.54	\$1,908
NM-501	1,427	771	0.54	\$3,833
MI-503	275	148	0.54	\$2,682
FL-512	255	137	0.54	\$1,228

**APPENDIX II:  
Ratio of Permanent to Homeless Housing**

CoC Number	Number of Homeless Beds	Number of Permanent Beds	Ratio of Permanent to Homeless Beds	Funding per Homeless
FL-504	594	319	0.54	\$1,140
IL-514	668	358	0.54	\$1,824
HI-500	823	439	0.53	\$1,524
FL-516	75	40	0.53	NA
MA-513	372	197	0.53	NA
NY-513	97	51	0.53	\$4,076
VA-513	281	147	0.52	\$8,422
NV-501	927	483	0.52	\$3,848
NC-516	127	66	0.52	\$3,451
NJ-516	262	134	0.51	\$3,780
IL-520	410	209	0.51	\$1,887
MA-502	535	272	0.51	\$2,153
FL-505	229	115	0.50	\$1,142
MT-500	1,337	666	0.50	\$3,246
TN-501	1,705	848	0.50	\$6,013
PA-507	1,342	660	0.49	NA
LA-504	836	408	0.49	\$2,019
GA-500	3,747	1,826	0.49	\$1,411
NE-501	1,731	842	0.49	\$3,488
IL-516	248	119	0.48	\$1,846
IL-513	305	146	0.48	\$1,812
NC-503	3,396	1,621	0.48	\$3,287
VT-501	457	217	0.47	\$9,777
NC-506	431	203	0.47	\$3,357
WI-502	297	139	0.47	\$17,590
OK-502	1,402	655	0.47	\$4,824
LA-500	493	228	0.46	\$2,012
MI-517	224	103	0.46	\$2,914
GA-504	365	166	0.45	\$1,478
CA-517	174	79	0.45	\$714
LA-501	210	95	0.45	NA
MI-500	2,288	1,017	0.44	\$2,666
PA-510	536	234	0.44	\$5,548
FL-602	232	101	0.44	\$1,362
FL-604	439	191	0.44	\$1,385
NC-502	738	321	0.43	\$3,287
FL-502	2,367	1,027	0.43	\$1,097
NY-601	446	193	0.43	\$4,456
MO-602	354	152	0.43	\$3,149
CA-513	557	239	0.43	\$668
AR-501	401	172	0.43	\$371
TN-506	320	137	0.43	\$6,464
PA-501	440	187	0.43	\$5,178
CA-521	306	130	0.42	\$724
IN-500	484	204	0.42	\$1,905

**APPENDIX II:  
Ratio of Permanent to Homeless Housing**

CoC Number	Number of Homeless Beds	Number of Permanent Beds	Ratio of Permanent to Homeless Beds	Funding per Homeless
VA-521	761	320	0.42	\$8,645
OK-501	874	366	0.42	\$4,768
WV-503	430	180	0.42	#REF!
WA-507	665	274	0.41	\$12,247
FL-500	785	315	0.40	\$1,087
AL-503	534	211	0.40	\$266
AL-501	537	209	0.39	\$208
CO-503	5,676	2,192	0.39	\$933
TX-604	244	94	0.39	\$7,136
CA-511	1,543	587	0.38	\$644
KY-502	1,410	533	0.38	\$2,010
CA-522	226	85	0.38	\$732
SD-500	1,747	657	0.38	\$5,966
WY-500	815	303	0.37	NA
PA-508	238	88	0.37	\$5,509
IL-507	537	198	0.37	\$1,663
CO-500	1,929	707	0.37	\$913
FL-517	241	88	0.37	\$1,271
FL-511	784	283	0.36	\$1,226
NY-514	105	37	0.35	\$4,195
MA-519	246	86	0.35	\$2,374
IL-503	195	68	0.35	\$1,633
MD-506	112	39	0.35	\$2,454
PA-511	459	159	0.35	\$5,613
UT-503	838	290	0.35	\$7,488
VA-508	251	86	0.34	\$8,373
TN-512	278	93	0.33	\$6,712
NY-600	66,662	22,177	0.33	\$4,454
IL-517	425	139	0.33	\$1,859
CA-520	218	71	0.33	\$721
KS-507	1,705	552	0.32	\$1,974
FL-503	433	139	0.32	\$1,114
IA-500	287	90	0.31	\$1,535
NE-502	882	268	0.30	\$3,522
OR-503	380	114	0.30	\$5,110
CA-506	872	261	0.30	\$547
MI-519	371	111	0.30	\$2,943
FL-514	369	109	0.30	\$1,260
TX-607	5,663	1,617	0.29	\$7,248
AK-501	826	229	0.28	\$140
SC-501	1,459	404	0.28	\$5,937
IL-519	253	69	0.27	\$1,873
IA-501	2,495	678	0.27	\$1,546
FL-515	186	50	0.27	\$1,261
OK-507	351	94	0.27	\$4,904

**APPENDIX II:  
Ratio of Permanent to Homeless Housing**

CoC Number	Number of Homeless Beds	Number of Permanent Beds	Ratio of Permanent to Homeless Beds	Funding per Homeless
TX-611	612	157	0.26	\$7,308
MA-520	621	158	0.25	NA
MD-509	198	50	0.25	\$2,473
HI-501	3,513	859	0.24	\$1,526
FL-518	107	26	0.24	\$1,290
CA-518	548	131	0.24	\$716
OR-505	3,034	713	0.24	\$5,117
MI-518	99	23	0.23	\$2,916
NJ-502	569	131	0.23	\$3,587
FL-520	316	71	0.22	\$1,351
VA-603	257	55	0.21	\$9,078
OK-505	449	95	0.21	\$4,861
FL-513	826	174	0.21	\$1,230
IL-512	478	100	0.21	\$1,778
MO-600	622	129	0.21	\$3,141
NE-500	833	171	0.21	\$3,474
CA-526	188	38	0.20	\$771
MA-508	541	106	0.20	\$2,267
OK-503	179	34	0.19	\$4,827
TX-703	487	92	0.19	NA
AL-502	266	50	0.19	\$228
VI-500	127	22	0.17	\$9,312
VA-602	186	32	0.17	\$9,015
NJ-500	466	78	0.17	\$3,569
WV-500	117	19	0.16	\$18,749
NY-509	83	13	0.16	NA
MS-501	927	144	0.16	\$3,224
WI-500	4,037	626	0.16	\$13,512
KS-505	231	35	0.15	\$1,944
MI-523	147	22	0.15	\$2,956
IL-515	210	31	0.15	\$1,832
VA-502	553	76	0.14	\$7,850
MI-515	204	27	0.13	\$2,859
AL-507	554	73	0.13	\$307
IL-518	259	32	0.12	\$1,870
MI-511	124	13	0.10	\$2,819
AL-505	150	12	0.08	\$286
AR-505	206	16	0.08	\$389
AR-503	593	44	0.07	\$381
OK-500	235	17	0.07	\$4,764
FL-606	427	27	0.06	\$1,406
TX-603	1,243	78	0.06	\$7,064
CA-516	585	34	0.06	\$696
TX-624	408	17	0.04	\$7,389
OK-506	307	9	0.03	\$4,886

**APPENDIX II:  
 Ratio of Permanent to Homeless Housing**

<b>CoC Number</b>	<b>Number of Homeless Beds</b>	<b>Number of Permanent Beds</b>	<b>Ratio of Permanent to Homeless Beds</b>	<b>Funding per Homeless</b>
AR-508	132	0	0.00	\$395
AR-512	28	0	0.00	\$418
CA-523	153	0	0.00	\$757
CA-524	342	0	0.00	\$759
CA-525	139	0	0.00	\$759
CA-613	206	0	0.00	\$902
MI-512	289	0	0.00	\$2,841
NY-517	82	0	0.00	NA
TX-701	221	0	0.00	\$7,444
<b>Total</b>	<b>424,659</b>	<b>347,908</b>	<b>0.82</b>	<b>\$2,821</b>